

**City of Arlington**  
**(Tarrant County, Texas)**



**Annual Report**

**Updating Financial Information and**

**Operating Data**

**For**

**Fiscal Year Ending**

**September 30, 2014**

## Table of Contents

<b><u>SECTION ONE: THE CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS</u></b> .....	1
Introduction .....	1
General .....	1
Mayor and City Council .....	1
Administration .....	1
Certain City Council Appointees .....	1
Principal Executive Officers .....	2
Governmental Services and Facilities .....	2
Functional Groups .....	3
Neighborhood Services Group .....	3
Economic Development and Capital Investment Group .....	3
Strategic Support Group .....	4
Finance .....	4
Management Resources .....	4
Economic & Demographic Factors .....	5
Population .....	5
Per Capita Personal Income .....	5
Educational Facilities .....	5
Public School Enrollment .....	6
Arlington Major Employers .....	6
Unemployment Rate .....	6
Building Permits .....	7
Water Facilities .....	8
Water Treatment Facilities .....	8
The Distribution System .....	8
Water Supply .....	9
Drought Contingency Plan .....	11
Consumer Analysis Data .....	12
Average Daily Consumption (MGD) .....	12
Number of Units Served .....	12
Top Ten Water Customers .....	12
Historical Water Consumption Data .....	13
Wastewater Facilities .....	14
Wastewater Treated .....	14
Treatment Contract with Trinity River Authority (TRA) .....	14
Stormwater System .....	16
Municipal Drainage Utility System .....	16
Drainage Utility Charges and Billing .....	16
Residential Property .....	16
Residential Monthly Drainage Utility Fee Rates .....	16
Commercial Property .....	16
Drainage Account History .....	17

Investments .....	18
Legal Investments .....	18
Investment Policies .....	19
Current Investments .....	19
<b><u>SECTION TWO: DEBT STRUCTURE AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM</u></b> .....	20
Tax-Supported Debt .....	20
Debt Information .....	20
Key Debt Ratios .....	21
Rapidly of Principal Retirement .....	21
Debt Service Requirements .....	22
Tax-Supported Debt Service Requirements .....	22
Total Net Tax-Supported Debt .....	23
Portions of the Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds 2005 - Hotel Occupancy Tax .....	23
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2008B - TIRZ 5 .....	24
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2010B and a portion of Series 2014 - .....	25
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation 2009A and 2009B - Airport .....	25
Portions of the Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds 2011B - Water .....	25
Portions of the Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2005 - Parks .....	25
Tax Adequacy .....	26
Estimated Overlapping Debt .....	26
Water & Wastewater System Revenue Bonds .....	27
Municipal Drainage Utility Facilities System Revenue Debt .....	28
Dallas Cowboys Complex Special Obligations .....	29
Tax-Supported Capital Improvement Program .....	30
Remaining Bonds to Sale .....	30
Water & Wastewater System Capital Improvement Program .....	30
<b><u>SECTION THREE: FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b> .....	31
Basis of Accounting & Accounting Structure .....	31
Accounting Standards .....	31
Governmental Funds .....	31
Proprietary Funds .....	32
Enterprise Funds .....	32
Other Fund Types .....	32
Component Units .....	32
Certain Operations of the General Fund .....	33
Debt Service Fund Budget .....	34
Current Operating Budget .....	35
General Fund Revenues & Expenditures .....	36
General .....	36
Authority for Ad Valorem Taxation .....	36
Truth-in-Taxation Limitation .....	36
Property Subject to Taxation .....	36
Tax Increment Financing Districts .....	37
Appraisal of Taxable Property .....	38
City's Rights in the Event of Tax Delinquencies .....	38

Tax Limitation Election .....	38
Tax Revenue .....	39
Principal Tax Revenue by Source .....	39
Historical Estimated Taxable Value .....	39
Tax Rate Distribution .....	39
Collection Ratios .....	40
Analysis of Delinquent Taxes .....	40
Tax Base Distribution .....	40
Top Ten Taxpayers .....	41
Municipal Sales Tax .....	41
Per Capita Sales Tax Collection .....	41
Hotel Occupancy Tax Receipts .....	42
Dallas Cowboys Complex Development Project .....	42
Dallas Cowboy Complex Project Revenues and Debt Service Coverage .....	43
Financial Information Concerning the Water and Wastewater System .....	44
Water & Wastewater Rates .....	44
Historical Rate Adjustments .....	45
Rate Changes by Percent .....	46
Operating Reserve .....	46
Historical Financial Information .....	47
Historical Net Revenues Available For Debt Service .....	48
Historical Net Revenues Of The System And Financial Ratios .....	49
Municipal Drainage Utility System Condensed Schedule of Operations .....	50
Pension & Employee Benefit Plans .....	51
Texas Municipal Retirement System .....	51
Thrift Savings Plan .....	53
Part-Time Deferred Income Trust .....	53
IRC 457 Deferred Compensation Plans .....	54
Statement of Net Position and Statement of Changes in Net Position .....	55
Other Post Employment Benefits .....	56
Disability Income Plan .....	56
Retiree Health Insurance .....	58
Retiree Health Insurance City Contributions .....	59
Supplemental Death Benefits Plan .....	60

## **SECTION ONE: THE CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS**

### **Introduction**

The City is located in the eastern part of Tarrant County, equidistant between Dallas and Fort Worth on Interstate Highways 20 and 30, which are limited access highways. The City's location places it at the geographical center of the Dallas-Fort Worth metropolitan area. The land area of the City contained within its corporate boundary is approximately 99.5 square miles.

The City incorporated January 17, 1920, under the provisions of the Home Rule Amendment to the Texas State Constitution. The residents of the City receive the following services: public safety (police and fire), public works, public welfare, parks and recreation, public health, water and wastewater utilities, and general administrative services.

### **General**

The City operates under the Council-Manager form of government as established by its Charter. A nine member City Council (the "Council") has local legislative power. Elected "at large" are three council members and the Mayor. Five single member districts elect five council members. All elected members of the Council serve two-year terms, with the elections held in even/odd years for approximately half the seats. The Council elects both a Mayor Pro Tem and Deputy Mayor Pro Tem from among its members.

### **Mayor and City Council**

Policy-making and supervisory functions are the responsibility of and vested in the Council under provisions of the City Charter. Ordinances, resolutions and zoning proposals typically go before Council at 6:30 p.m. on the second and fourth Tuesday of each month; however, additional meetings may be scheduled on the call of the Mayor and may be cancelled by majority affirmative vote of all members of the council. The local cable public access station broadcast the Council meetings. A simple majority of the Council constitutes a quorum. The Mayor is required to vote on all matters considered by the Council, but has limited power to veto Council actions that can be overridden by simple majority action of the Council.

### **Administration**

The City Manager is the administrative head of the municipal government and carries out the policies of the Council. With the assistance of three Deputy City Managers, he coordinates the functions of the various municipal agencies and departments responsible for the delivery of services to residents. The Council appoints the City Manager and he serves at the pleasure of the Council.

The City Manager appoints and removes all City employees excluding the positions and offices of the City Attorney, City Auditor and other designated appointments reserved for Council action. The City Manager exercises control over all City departments and divisions; supervises their personnel; recommends Council legislative actions; advises Council on the City's financial conditions and needs; prepares and submits to Council the annual budget; and performs such duties required by Council.

### **Certain City Council Appointees**

The Council appoints the City Attorney who has management, charge, and control of all legal business of the City. The City Attorney is chief legal advisor to the Council, the City Manager, and all City departments and agencies. It is the City Attorney's duty to advise Council concerning the legality of actions by the City and to represent the City in all matters affecting its interest.

The City's Municipal Court Judiciary provides for the adjudication of Class "C" misdemeanor cases, issuance of warrants and the arraignment of prisoners.

The Council appoints the City Auditor who manages the Internal Audit Division, which monitors the internal controls and operations of the City. The City Auditor responds to management requests for analysis, appraisals, recommendations, as well as, monitors security of electronic data and assets.

The Council also appoints members to certain boards, commissions, and authorities, as it deems necessary to the operation of the City.

## **Principal Executive Officers**

City Manager – Mr. Trey Yelverton – with the City since January 1993. Prior to becoming City Manager, Mr. Yelverton was the Deputy City Manager for Neighborhood Services and Economic Development. Prior to this he was Director of the Neighborhood Services Department since 2000. He received an undergraduate Degree in Political Science - Public Administration from the University of Texas at Arlington, and a M.P.A. from University of North Texas. He is a credentialed Manager with the International City Management Association.

Deputy City Manager for Neighborhood Services – Dr. Theron Bowman - with the City since May 1983. Dr. Bowman began his career in law enforcement in 1983. He received a bachelor's Degree in Biology, a Master's Degree in Public Administration and a Doctorate in Urban and Public Administration all from the University of Texas at Arlington and has been recognized with the University of Texas at Arlington Distinguished Alumnus Award.

Deputy City Manager for Strategic Support – Mr. Gilbert Perales – with the City since January 2007. Prior to working for the City, Mr. Perales was the Assistant City Manager of the City of Irving for over 6 years. He received a Bachelor's Degree in Political Science and a Master's Degree in Public Administration from St. Mary's University.

Deputy City Manager for Economic Development & Capital Investment – Mr. Jim Parajon – with the City since 2006, most recently as the Director of Community Development and Planning. Mr. Parajon has been elected to the College of Fellows for the American Institute of Certified Planners in 2014. Previously, Mr. Parajon worked for the City of Raleigh, NC, where he served as Assistant Planning Director. He is a graduate of Dickinson College and received a Master's Degree in Regional Planning from the University of Massachusetts at Amherst.

Director of Finance, CFO – Mr. Michael Finley – with the City from May 1995- November of 2000; and then from February of 2002- present. Mr. Finley has a BA in Political Science and Master's Degree in Public Administration, both from Texas A&M University. He had been Budget Manager since 2004, and was promoted to CFO in December 2013.

Director of Water Utilities – Mr. Walter “Buzz” Pishkur - with the City since October 2012. Mr. Pishkur has a Bachelor's Degree in Business from Ohio State University, and he received a Master's Degree in Business Administration from the University of Illinois. He is a member of the American Water Works Association and has been active with the National Rural Water Association and the National Association of Water Companies.

City Attorney – Ms. Teris Solis – with the City since May 1991 as an Assistant City Attorney. Ms. Solis received a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Political Science – Honors Program from the University of Texas at Arlington and a Juris Doctor Degree from Southern Methodist University School of Law. Ms. Solis currently holds a designation as a Local Government Fellow from the International Municipal Lawyers Association.

## **Governmental Services and Facilities**

The City provides a full range of municipal services including police and fire, health, parks and recreation, public works, planning, and general administrative services. The City's Enterprise Funds accounts for water and wastewater services and stormwater utility services. Beginning in May 2005, the City leased operation of the landfill to Republic Services.

The City's main municipal facilities include two general administrative buildings and a public safety building. There are seventeen fire stations, four geographically distributed police stations, a police-training center, a fire training center, eighty-four city parks, four municipal golf courses, six branch libraries and one central library. Currently, the City is in the process of building a new central library.

Some of the other major facilities provided by the City include a convention center, four recreational centers, two senior citizen centers, a tennis facility and a municipal airport.

The City provides a comprehensive range of public services characteristic of its position as the most populous city in the Mid-Cities area of the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex. Presented in the following pages is a description of selected City agencies and departments contained within each of the five functional groups.

## **Functional Groups**

### **Neighborhood Services Group**

The Deputy City Manager for Neighborhood Services is responsible for the oversight and management of the Police, Fire, Library, Code Compliance Services and the Parks and Recreation Departments. The partnering of these departments provides a strong connection between City resources and neighborhoods.

The Police Department is composed of three major units: Operations, Management Services, and Community Services. More than 834 members of the Arlington Police Department enforce the law using a neighborhood based policing model. In 1989, the Police Department joined an elite number of police agencies nationwide in achieving the certification standards required by the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, Inc (CALEA). The Department was recertified in 2008.

The City's Fire Department is responsible for fire prevention, fire suppression and first response emergency medical services. The 440 employees of the Fire Department provide emergency responses from the City's 17 fire stations. The Fire Department has responsibility for 9-1-1 dispatch services which are CALEA certified. The Office of Emergency Management is responsible for coordinating major emergency disaster responses.

The Library Department provides services through a network of seven library facilities located strategically throughout the city, as well as numerous LibraryLiNK locations placed in schools or other service organizations. LibraryLiNK services vary by location, but generally include delivery/check-out of materials or access to digital materials. In addition to literacy programming, the Library offers programming for all ages, often in partnership with community educational and cultural institutions, that serve to enhance quality of life and civic involvement, increase appreciation for the arts, and improve vocational skills for Arlington residents. The Library's collection of print and audiovisual materials (books, DVDs, audio books, music, etc.) is supplemented by a growing array of digital services offered on [arlingtonlibrary.org](http://arlingtonlibrary.org), including online language learning, test preparation, eBooks, e-audio books, research databases, resume and career development resources, indexed full-text newspapers and magazines, genealogy resources, as well as homework help support.

Code Compliance Services consists of Animal Services and Code Compliance to ensure the health of communities through the control of animals and regulation of code issues. The department is active in providing programs relating to youth and seniors in our community. Code Compliance Services focuses on providing community outreach through education, animal maintenance and control, as well as the enforcement of code issues to increase the safety and vitality of neighborhoods.

The Parks and Recreation Department is responsible for the operation and maintenance of the City's 4,710 acres of parks, including four municipal golf courses, a tennis facility, four recreational centers, two senior citizen centers, and the management of the Bob Duncan Center. It conducts a wide range of high quality, year-round leisure time programs that are responsive to the physical, social, cultural, and environmental needs of the citizens of the City.

### **Economic Development and Capital Investment Group**

The Deputy City Manager for Economic Development and Capital Investment is responsible for oversight and management of five departments. The City functions covered include the Public Works and Transportation, Community Development and Planning, the Convention Center, Economic Development and Aviation.

Public Works and Transportation plans, designs, operates, acquires, constructs, and maintains public facilities to ensure the safe and efficient movement of people, goods, and stormwater. The department is structured in divisions focusing on transportation planning, engineering operations, traffic, signal engineering, streets, stormwater/drainage, information services and environmental education.

Community Development and Planning is responsible for maintaining a long-range Comprehensive Plan, which optimizes the physical, fiscal, and natural resources of the City in its development. Development Services consists of the Building Inspection Division which enforces the City's ordinances regarding general construction, zoning, mechanical, electrical and plumbing activities. The Strategic Planning/Grants Management staff provides coordination services in an effort to facilitate effectively program development and implementation. Additional responsibilities include providing City staff and the public with current zoning and inventory maps and a wide range of demographic statistics. The Arlington Housing Authority (AHA) is responsible for administering federal and state grant funds and providing housing assistance to qualified citizens.

The Arlington Convention Center has divisions consisting of Event Services and Facility Operations, and a contract with the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau (ACVB). The Center offers 50,000 square feet of exhibit space, a 30,000 square foot Grand Hall for banquets, and 8,500 square feet of meeting space. Food, beverage and audio visual services are available to accommodate small and large groups for conferences, exhibits, trade shows, and meetings. Located in the Entertainment

District, the Center is close to Globe Life Park (home of the Texas Rangers), AT&T Stadium (home of the Dallas Cowboys), Six Flags and Hurricane Harbor, and is easily accessible from I-30. The Convention Center and the ACVB partner to increase tourism and promote many attractions and events throughout the city.

The Office of Economic Development has divisions consisting of Business Development and Business Recruitment and Retention, and contracts with Downtown Arlington Management and various Minority Chambers. Incentives available consist of tax abatements, chapter 380 agreements, Freeport Exemptions, Enterprise Zones, Tax Increment Financing Districts, and the Downtown Business Zoning District. The Economic Development team strives to grow and diversify the economy through business attraction and retention, creating a vibrant downtown through partnerships and legacy building, and expanding the commercial tax base through improved property values.

The Aviation Department operates Arlington Municipal Airport, a full-service general aviation airport owned by the City of Arlington. The facility is designated as a reliever airport, providing corporate, cargo, charter and private aviation, an alternative to DFW Airport and Love Field. There are approximately 260 aircraft and 22 businesses based at the Airport, including Bell Helicopter Textron, Van Bortel Aircraft, Inc. and AgustaWestland.

### **Strategic Support Group**

The Deputy City Manager for Strategic Support is responsible for the oversight and management of five City departments, which include the Water Utilities Department, Human Resources, Information Technology, Municipal Court, and Handitran/Special Transit.

The Water Utilities Department is responsible for assuring a continuous supply of safe high quality drinking water and collection and safe disposal of wastewater. The City is recognized nationally for its advanced technology in water treatment. Transmission capacity was designed to anticipate future peak demands well into this century. The department has three divisions: Operations, Business Services, and Treatment. The department received awards from the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Texas Municipal Utilities Association, Texas Water Utilities Association and the American Water Works Association.

The Human Resources Department consists of Employee Operations, Employee Services, Organizational Development, and Risk Administration. This department is an organizational conduit to recruit, develop and retain quality employees and volunteers that are the foundation for building a thriving community. These human assets deliver our core services in alignment with the brand of Arlington. Human Resources is also charged with minimizing organizational and community risk.

The Information Technology Department consists of Project Management, Infrastructure, Information Security, Business Development, Software Services, Network Support, Server Support, and Customer Support. The department is a vital partner with all City departments to provide quality services through the innovative use of technology. They provide network infrastructure stability, assistance with technology requests, manage technology security, and customer service for many varied software and hardware issues.

The Municipal Court handles payment for citations, court appearances for teens and adults, jury service requirements, and management of revenues from fines. The court strives to improve customer service through advanced technology.

The Handitran/Special Transit is a self-service transit program for the elderly and the disabled. The program allows registered passengers an opportunity to schedule and make trips around the area.

### **Finance**

Reporting directly to the City Manager, the Director of Finance oversees the financial affairs of the City and ensures the financial integrity of City operations. The Finance Department services include Budget, Accounting, Accounts Payable, Payroll, Purchasing, Treasury Management and maintenance of the City's Fixed Asset inventory.

### **Management Resources**

The Management Resources Department is responsible for improving legislative and lobbying efforts as well as public information. The Office of Communication (OOC) works with news media and issues publications, and implements programs to educate and inform citizens about City policies and programs. It is responsible for providing a communication and service link between the residents of and business owners in the City and all City departments. It also includes City Secretary's Office which transcribes and maintains official City records, minutes and ordinances, and conducts City elections.

## Economic & Demographic Factors

### Population Arlington and the United States Selected Years

Year	Arlington	United States
1950	7,692	150,697,361
1960	44,775	178,464,236
1970	90,229	203,211,926
1980	160,113	226,545,805
1990	261,721	248,765,170
2000	332,969	281,421,906
2010	365,438	308,745,538
2011	365,530	312,759,230
2012	365,860	314,395,013
2013	365,930	316,128,839
2014	369,508	318,857,056

Source: U.S. Census, North Central Texas Council of Governments

### Per Capita Personal Income

Region	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Tarrant County	44,417	43,044	40,965	39,367	37,567
Texas	43,862	42,638	41,560	39,791	38,637
United States	44,765	43,735	40,147	38,222	39,615

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

### Educational Facilities

Arlington Independent School District (AISD) is the principal public educator. However, Mansfield Independent School District (MISD), Kennedale Independent School District (KISD) and Hurst-Euless-Bedford Independent School District (HEBISD) house school buildings within Arlington's city limits. The AISD public schools feature seven high schools, twelve junior high schools, fifty-one elementary schools, one pre-kindergarten campus, and four alternative schools. AISD's staff of 8,055 serves a peak enrollment of 64,629 students. MISD has fifteen schools in Arlington, including two high schools, four middle schools and nine elementary schools. These fifteen schools serve approximately 11,728 students. KISD facilitates one school within Arlington's city limits with 446 students. HEBISD has one school in the Viridian area of Arlington that opened August 25, 2014. Enrollment numbers for the new Viridian Elementary school have not been reported. HEBISD enrollment numbers for the 2014-2015 school year will be included in next year's annual report.

The University of Texas at Arlington, founded in 1895, has an approximate enrollment of over 38,000 students and offers 182 degree programs at the bachelor, master, and doctoral levels. The physical plant, located on a 420 acre campus, includes more than 100 buildings. The campus has a 190,000 sq. ft. student activity center and has greatly expanded its on campus housing capacity. The College Park district and several off campus housing projects have also been developed targeting students.

Tarrant County College opened its Southeast Campus in Arlington during 1996. The 193-acre site features a current enrollment of approximately 10,000 students and approximately 750 employees. The college offers Associate degrees in Arts, Applied Sciences, and various Technical certificates.

Summarized below is information regarding the Arlington, Mansfield, and Kennedale Independent School District's annual peak enrollment numbers along with the change in total percentage for the last five fiscal years.

**Public School Enrollment**

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Arlington ISD Peak Enrollment</u>	<u>Mansfield ISD Peak Enrollment</u>	<u>Kennedale ISD Peak Enrollment</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Percentage Change</u>
2010	63,989	13,295	505	77,789	0.17%
2011	64,380	13,358	467	78,205	0.53%
2012	64,971	13,266	425	78,662	0.58%
2013	64,913	12,264	424	77,601	-1.35%
2014	64,629	11,728	446	76,803	-1.03%

---

Source: Texas Education Agency (Academic Performance Report)

**Arlington Major Employers**

<u>Company Name</u>	<u>No. of Employees</u>
Arlington ISD	8,055
University of Texas at Arlington	5,300
General Motors Co.	4,484
Six Flags Over Texas	3,800
The Parks Mall	3,500
Texas Health Resources	2,871
City of Arlington	2,315
GM Financial	2,000
J.P. Morgan Chase	1,965
Texas Rangers	1,881

---

Source: City Economic Development (OED) Department

As illustrated in the table below, Arlington has managed to maintain lower unemployment rates than the United States and the State of Texas. For 2014, the City's unemployment rate averaged 5.2 percent compared to the U.S. rate of 6.5 percent and the Texas rate, which was 5.5 percent.

**Unemployment Rate**

<u>Region</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Arlington	5.2	5.9	6.8	7.6	7.8
Texas	5.5	6.4	7.2	7.9	8.2
United States	6.5	7.6	8.3	8.9	9.4

---

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

### Building Permits

During the FY 2014, the City issued 5,154 building permits with a total value of \$394,066,857. Presented below is a table covering building permit activity for the last three fiscal years:

	2014		2013		2012	
	Permits	Declared Value	Permits	Declared Value	Permits	Declared Value
New Single Family	328	135,154,940	580	128,592,698	395	74,809,709
New Multifamily	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Commercial	143	150,605,419	125	94,840,703	146	148,544,196
Other Residential	2,978	22,154,740	2,664	19,091,105	2,903	24,821,662
Other Commercial	1,705	86,151,758	1,226	74,165,617	766	76,380,122
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,154</b>	<b>394,066,857</b>	<b>4,595</b>	<b>316,690,123</b>	<b>4,210</b>	<b>324,555,689</b>

---

Source: City Building Inspections Division

## Water Facilities

### Water Treatment Facilities

Arlington currently operates two water treatment plants that treat raw water and purify it to meet or exceed state and federal drinking water standards. Treated water is then pumped to the distribution system for customer use.

The Pierce-Burch Water Treatment Plant (PBWTP) is located in west Arlington and treats raw water from Lake Arlington that is pumped to the water treatment plant. The south PBWTP was constructed in 1957 and with subsequent expansions has a treatment capacity of 75 million gallons per day (MGD). The plant uses a sedimentation, ozonation, and filtration treatment process. The north PBWTP was constructed in 1954, but has been decommissioned and is no longer in service. There are no plans to expand the PBWTP, but land is available at the site for future expansion if needed.

The John F. Kubala Water Treatment Plant (JKWTP) is located in southwest Arlington and receives its raw water directly from the Tarrant Regional Water District's (TRWD) Richland Chambers and Cedar Creek pipelines. The JKWTP was constructed in 1989 and with subsequent expansions currently has a treatment capacity of 97.5 MGD. The plant uses a sedimentation, ozonation, and filtration treatment process. Population growth and development in the southern part of the City necessitated the construction of JKWTP. The plant began serving Arlington's citizens in May 1989. Beginning in August 1998, TRWD also began delivering water from Lake Benbrook, a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers-owned reservoir. There are no plans to expand the JKWTP. The plant was designed for ultimate build out capacity of 130 MGD.

### The Distribution System

The City's water distribution system has three pressure planes, referred to as the Upper pressure plane, West pressure plane, and Lower pressure plane. Either of the two City-owned and operated water treatment facilities can fully provide the average day demand to each of the pressure zones. Thus, the City has 100% redundancy for water treatment and distribution. When both plants are operating concurrently the JKWTP supplies the Upper and West pressure planes and the PBWTP supplies the lower pressure plane. A combination of electrically driven and natural gas pumps transfer water from the plants into the distribution system. There are ten elevated storage tanks with a combined capacity of 17.5 million gallons.

The City's water distribution system is fully metered and consists of 1,578 miles of pipe. The City has 107,408 water meters of which 23.1% are automated. The System includes concrete cylinder, cast iron, polyvinyl chloride (PVC), and ductile iron pipes. The entire System meets the minimum standards prescribed by the Texas Fire Insurance Commission, the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ).

The City's water system has adequately met the demand for treating and distributing water during the past ten fiscal years as follows:

#### Treatment & Distribution of Water

Fiscal Year	Average Daily Pumpage (MGD)	Maximum Daily Pumpage (MGD)
2005	57.49	95.41
2006	67.26	116.72
2007	51.52	86.04
2008	57.23	109.49
2009	59.54	115.20
2010	55.44	102.24
2011	64.25	114.69
2012	57.99	106.45
2013	55.20	95.76
2014	53.04	88.82

Source: City Water Utilities Department

## Water Supply

The Tarrant Regional Water District (TRWD) is the primary supplier of raw water used by over 50 municipal and non-municipal entities located both within and outside of Tarrant County. Among the major customers of the District are the cities of Fort Worth, Arlington, and Mansfield, and a wholesale water provider, the Trinity River Authority (TRA).

The City receives water from TRWD's Benbrook, Cedar Creek and Richland Chambers Reservoirs. Water from these reservoirs is transported through transmission facilities to Lake Arlington and the John F. Kubala Water Treatment Plant. This water supply service was initially provided under the terms and provisions of a contract dated July 13, 1971. Under that contract, TRWD agrees to supply all of the City's municipal water requirements during its term.

On September 1, 1982, TRWD entered into a revised water supply contract ("Amendatory Contract") with the City, and the cities of Fort Worth, Mansfield and TRA. The revised contract will continue in effect until all bonds of TRWD relating to TRWD's System have been paid, and thereafter during the useful life of TRWD's System. Under the Amendatory Contract, the City is required to purchase all of its raw water needs from TRWD. TRWD is obligated to meet the City's needs by developing additional water supply sources, subject to force majeure, the ability of TRWD to obtain suitable financing and a determination of feasibility. If TRWD is unable to supply all of the City's raw water requirements or if it should become apparent that TRWD will become unable to supply such requirements, the Amendatory Contract provides a procedure by which the City would be permitted to develop or obtain a supplemental water supply to meet its needs. The City is depending upon TRWD to meet its full raw water needs under the Amendatory Contract and, at present, the City has no assurance of the availability of a supplemental water supply if TRWD should fail to meet such needs. TRWD's current sources as well as additional supplies that are actively under development are projected to provide an adequate water supply through 2030.

TRWD's most recent system enhancements include completion of the Eagle Mountain Pipeline and George W. Shannon Wetlands at Richland-Chambers Reservoir.

In March 2002, TRWD issued \$331,430,000 in Water Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds (Series 2002) to refund the Series 1993 Bonds and to fund the acquisition and expansion of the Wetland Water Treatment System for Richland Chambers, for design/engineering of the pipeline connection to Eagle Mountain Lake and other construction, improvements and repairs to TRWD's Water System. Construction of the Richland Chambers Dam and Reservoir Project was funded with proceeds derived from the sale of Water Revenue Bonds, which were originally issued in 1979 (Series 1979-A) and have since been refunded with the Series 2002 Bonds.

In 2006, TRWD issued \$182,905,000 in Water Revenue Bonds for:

- acquisition and expansion of the Wetland Water Treatment system for Richland Chambers Reservoir;
- initial cost for a Wetland Water Treatment system for the Cedar Creek Reservoir;
- expansion and improvements to TRWD's water supply transmission system to Eagle Mountain Lake;
- acquisition and installation of control equipment for the Eagle Mountain Pipeline connection and Richland Chambers Wetland projects;
- engineering, acquisition and construction of a new communication system;
- engineering and studies for expansion of discharge facilities at Lake Arlington;
- acquisition and improvements to TRWD's existing water supply security system;
- acquisition of right-of-way and permanent and perpetual flowage easements for the System together with all other design, construction, improvements and repairs and studies and plans for TRWD's Water System;
- to fund a debt service reserve fund; and
- to pay the costs associated with the issuance of the Bonds.

Two bond issues were made in 2008, Series 2008A-RC Water Revenue Bond (\$3,135,000) and 2008B-CC Water Revenue Bond (\$6,755,000). The 2008A-RC Bonds were issued to support pre-construction efforts to complete the Richland-Chambers Reservoir Wetland Project. The 2008B-CC Bonds were issued to support pre-construction efforts for the Cedar Creek Wetlands Project. These bonds were issued as a part of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) Water Infrastructure Fund established to finance implementation of projects identified in the 2007 State Water Plan.

In March 2009, TRWD issued \$69,535,000 in bonds to refund \$16,895,000 of the Series 1999 Water and Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds and to pay for construction of a parallel pipeline segment; the design of additional pipeline and pumping facilities; the construction of a balancing reservoir on the Eagle Mountain pipeline; major repairs, replacements and additions of valves, vaults, pumps, variable frequency drives, switchgear, aeration facilities, and tank recoating related to

the water transmission system; expansion and rehabilitation of chemical and dechlorination facilities related to the District's water transmission system; dam stability analysis and remediation, water transportation improvements, including log jam removal; design of hydro generation facilities at Lake Arlington; development of new water resources, including costs related to the acquisition of out of state water, and associated legal, engineering and consultation costs; other design, construction, improvements and repairs and studies and plans for the District's Water System.

In 2010, TRWD had three bond issues, 2010, 2010A and 2010B. The 2010 issue for \$89,250,000 was issued for engineering and initial right of way costs related to additional pipeline and pumping facilities; engineering and construction of the build-out phase of the Richland-Chambers wetlands facilities; land and right-of-way for construction of the Cedar Creek wetlands; construction of hydro generation facilities at Lake Arlington; development of new water resources, including costs related to the acquisition of out of state water, and associated legal, engineering, and consulting costs; other design, construction, improvements and repairs and studies and plans for the District's Water System. The 2010A, (\$17,835,000) and 2010B, (\$83,785,000) series were issued to support development costs related to the Integrated Pipeline Project (IPL) and were issued as a part of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) Water Infrastructure Fund established to finance implementation of projects identified in the 2007 State Water Plan. The IPL Project is an integrated water delivery transmission system that will deliver water supply from Lake Palestine, Cedar Creek and Richland-Chambers Reservoirs integrated with TRWD's existing pipelines and provide flexibility in water sources and delivery as well as quick response to fluctuating customer demands. The IPL Project consists of 150 miles of pipeline, three new lake pump stations, and three new booster pump stations. The City of Dallas is funding a portion of the cost to design, construct and operate the IPL in proportion to delivery of Dallas water supply from Lake Palestine.

In January 2012, the TRWD board approved a resolution authorizing the issuance, sale, and delivery of the Tarrant Regional Water District, a water control and improvement district, water transmission facilities contract revenue bonds (City of Dallas Project) \$131,935,000 to pay for the design, acquisition, and construction of the "Dallas Project Component" of the integrated pipeline project. The board also approved revenue refunding and improvement bonds for TRWD, \$150,375,000 to refund a portion of the outstanding Series 2002 Bonds, pay for the design, construction and right of way costs related to the District's Water System, including additional water transmission facilities and pumping facilities, development of new water resources, including costs related to the acquisition of out of state water and associated legal, engineering, and consulting costs, additions to the Kennedale balancing reservoirs, construction of hydro generation facilities at Lake Arlington, and other construction, improvements, and repairs to the District's Water System, fund a debt service reserve fund and pay costs of issuance of the bonds.

In October 2012, the TRWD board approved a resolution authorizing the issuance, sale, and delivery of the Tarrant Regional Water District, a water control and improvement district Water Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2012A to refund a portion of the District's debt for debt service savings and to pay costs of issuance of the bonds.

In January 2014, the TRWD board approved a resolution authorizing the issuance, sale, and delivery of the Tarrant Regional Water District, a water control and improvement district, water transmission facilities contract revenue bonds Series 2014 (City of Dallas Project), \$226,930,000, to pay for the design, acquisition, and construction of the "Dallas Project Component" of the integrated pipeline project, and Tarrant Regional Water District, a water control and improvement district, water transmission facilities revenue bonds Series 2014, \$335,425,000, to pay for the planning, design, construction, and right of way costs related to the District's Water System, including additional water transmission and pumping facilities; development of new water resources, including costs related to the acquisition of out of state water and associated legal, engineering, and consulting costs; Cedar Creek Dam stabilization, pump station pump room cooling, rebuilding Benbrook Dechlor. Facilities, access bridges, monitoring equipment, generators, switches, instrumentation and other electrical equipment and improvements, and other construction improvements, and repairs to the District's Water System, to fund a debt service reserve fund and to pay costs of issuance of the Bonds.

In January 2015 the TRWD sold water revenue refunding bonds to refund a portion of the District's debt for debt service savings and to pay issuance costs of the Bonds.

Tarrant Regional Water District estimates that the existing and permitted water supply system has adequate water to meet its customers' projected water requirements through the year 2030. TRWD continues to participate in statewide and regional water supply planning authorized by the 1997 passage of Senate Bill 1. The regional plan for the Dallas-Fort Worth region includes plans for TRWD to develop an additional 622 MGD through the year 2060 at an estimated cost of \$4.7 billion. These projects include water conservation, reuse, reservoir, and pipeline construction.

Under the terms of the Amendatory Contract, the City pays TRWD an amount equal to the City's proportionate share of TRWD's "Annual Requirement." Said annual requirement includes the costs of operation and maintenance of TRWD's raw water supply facilities, debt service on TRWD's bonds and any future bonds it might issue, including deposits to any special or

reserve fund established in TRWD's bond resolutions. Based upon the projected usage of the City for the 2014-2015 fiscal year, the budgeted monthly purchase price to be paid by the City under the revised water contract is \$1,914,110, which results in a rate of approximately \$1.08 per one thousand gallons. Such amount is subject to adjustment as provided in the Amendatory Contract. The City is obligated to pay TRWD for all water used by it, and under the Amendatory Contract, the minimum amount of water the City shall be deemed to have used shall be calculated at an amount equal to the greater of 30 MGD or the average MGD actually used by the City during the period of the immediately preceding five consecutive annual periods.

The Amendatory Contract provides that all payments to be made under said Contract shall constitute reasonable and necessary operating expenses of the System, and thus the City's requirement to make such payments from its revenues to the System shall have priority over any obligation to make payments from such revenues, including payment of principal and interest on the City's Outstanding Bonds, the Bonds and any additional Bonds.

### **Drought Contingency Plan**

The City continues to work closely with TRWD to plan for and execute drought contingency measures.

TRWD updated its Water Conservation and Drought Contingency Plans in May 2014, in accordance with the Texas Commission of Environmental Quality (TCEQ) directives. Regular meetings were held to discuss evolving approaches to water conservation and extending supplies during drought or emergency situations. TRWD's customers had extensive input defining drought conditions and prescribing conservation measures related to each drought stage. All major customers agreed to specific, staged measures related to emergency conditions brought on by drought-induced water supply depletion or failure of components in TRWD's supply system.

Arlington Water Utilities also updated its Drought Contingency Plan in 2014. The drought plan is based on a statistical analysis of 43-year weather patterns in North Texas and their potential effects on water supplies to establish drought triggers. The responses for each drought stage are triggered by two sets of conditions – water supply levels or excessive demand and emergency situations. Drought stages are triggered when the total combined raw water supply within the TRWD reservoir system drops below 75, 60 and 45 percent of conservation storage. Other conditions that would activate a drought response would include situations where:

- Water demand exceeds the amount that can be delivered to customers.
- Water demand for all or part of the TRWD delivery system exceeds delivery capacity because delivery capacity is inadequate.
- One or more of TRWD's water supply sources has become limited in availability.
- Water demand is projected to approach the limit of permitted supply.
- Supply source becomes contaminated.
- Water supply system is unable to deliver water due to the failure or damage of major water system components.
- The General Manager, with concurrence of the TRWD Board of Directors, finds that conditions warrant the declaration of a drought stage.

The summer of 2013 began with Stage 1 drought restrictions due to lack of rainfall during the winter and spring months. Per the Drought Contingency Plan, when TRWD reservoirs dropped to 75% capacity on June 3, 2013, Stage 1 drought restrictions were implemented. The same Stage 1 drought restrictions were implemented for all of 2014 and included a mandatory maximum two-day a week watering schedule to reduce water consumption by 5%. Water consumption goals were met locally in Arlington and regionally with TRWD. Stage 1 drought restrictions worked as intended and the City did not have any irreparable system supply problems during the restrictions. Stage 1 drought restrictions are still in effect as of January 2015 and current lake levels are at 64% capacity.

The City coordinated with TRWD, its customer cities and other North Texas water suppliers to take a regional approach in updating its Drought Contingency Plan in the spring of 2014. The Drought Contingency Plan, per TCEQ requirements, was adopted in May 2014. The Water Conservation Plan was also updated and adopted by the Arlington City Council in May 2014. Because of this proactive approach to addressing drought conditions and managing emergency demand, combined with an excellent track record in planning and system development initiatives, the City does not anticipate, and did not recently experience with implementation of the Drought Contingency Plan, any system supply problems. However, steps will be taken in the event of a prolonged drought to ensure that the financial condition of the System remains strong.

### Consumer Analysis Data

The following data provides information as to the average daily water consumption, excluding sales to municipalities, by user category for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010 through September 30, 2014.

#### Average Daily Consumption (MGD)

Property Type	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Residential	24.90	26.50	33.70	30.57	25.04
Commercial	8.72	9.10	12.00	10.60	9.98
Fire lines, Sprinklers	4.43	5.00	6.40	6.41	4.61
Apartment Units	7.62	7.80	9.60	8.10	7.88
Mobile Homes, Condominiums, Townhouses	0.65	0.65	0.80	0.64	0.50
<b>Total</b>	46.32	49.05	62.50	56.32	48.01

The following table shows the number of units served; excluding sales to municipalities, by user category for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010 through September 30, 2014.

#### Number of Units Served

Property Type	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Residential	93,764	93,589	92,945	92,594	92,423
Commercial	4,821	4,800	4,857	4,922	4,903
Fire lines, Sprinklers	1,066	1,076	969	966	960
Apartment Units	45,950	46,682	46,844	46,917	46,845
Mobile Homes, Condominiums, Townhouses	2,084	2,079	2,089	2,089	2,181
<b>Total</b>	147,685	148,226	147,704	147,488	147,312

The following is a listing of the top ten water customers of the City, ranked by consumption during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014. Billing will vary based on the number of meters, increased minimum charges for larger meters, and higher commodity charges for sprinkler usage. During this period, the top ten customers' total annual water billings, which represented 10.01 percent of the System's water sales, were as follows:

#### Top Ten Water Customers

Name	Consumption in 1,000 Gallons	Billing
EUSB/General Motors	293,489	653,396.66
University Texas Arlington	257,537	889,788.51
Arlington ISD	236,201	1,042,551.80
City of Arlington	203,429	1,101,218.99
Hurricane Harbor	92,420	245,132.55
Red Oak Water Transfer	77,508	552,837.54
AT&T Stadium	74,789	279,109.32
Six Flags Over Texas	65,720	212,948.54
Mansfield ISD	65,383	324,834.29
Arlington Memorial Hospital	61,108	186,554.79
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,427,584</b>	<b>\$ 5,488,373</b>

**Historical Water Consumption Data  
(Inside City Limits)**

The following table lists certain data on historical water consumption during the last five fiscal years.

<u>Fiscal Year Ended 9/30</u>	<u>Number of Water Meters</u>	<u>Total Water Pumped MG</u>	<u>Average Water Pumped MGD</u>	<u>Maximum Day Pumpage MGD</u>	<u>GPD Per Account</u>	<u>Ratio Maximum Day to Average Day</u>
2010	105,638	20,236	55.44	102.24	526.00	1.84
2011	106,021	23,451	64.25	114.69	606.00	1.79
2012	106,081	21,166	57.99	106.45	547.00	1.84
2013	106,848	20,148	55.20	95.76	517.00	1.72
2014	107,408	19,473	53.35	88.82	515.00	1.60

Source: City of Arlington's Water Utilities Department

## Wastewater Facilities

The wastewater collection system that serves all developed areas within the City limits is comprised of approximately 1,294 miles of sanitary sewer mains ranging in size from six to seventy-two inches. Although the City owns and maintains an extensive wastewater collection system, it does not treat its own wastewater. Wastewater produced in the City is treated under contract by the Trinity River Authority's (TRA) Central Regional Wastewater System (CRWS). The City's annual volume of contributing flow amounts to approximately 28.2 percent of the total wastewater flow into the CRWS Plant. As the city with the largest population in the CRWS service area, Arlington contributes the highest daily flow of all TRA regional plant customers. The CRWS Plant meets the effluent permit conditions to treat 162 MGD as set by the TCEQ and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

The following is a list of Arlington's wastewater flows treated by TRA's CRWS plant during the last five fiscal years.

### Wastewater Treated (Millions of Gallons)

2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
12,408	12,510	13,510	13,329	13,293

### Treatment Contract with Trinity River Authority (TRA)

The City's wastewater is treated under the terms of a 50-year contract with TRA dated October 10, 1973. TRA is the owner and operator of the CRWS Plant and the interceptor pipeline system, which serves part of Dallas, Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport, and 19 other Dallas County and Tarrant County municipalities. Under the terms of the contract, each contracting party contributes to TRA's "Annual Requirements" in proportion to its contributing flow of wastewater into the CRWS Plant. The "Annual Requirements" include cost of operation and maintenance of the system and debt service on TRA's bonds issued to construct the system, including deposits to special funds established by the bond resolution. Based upon actions approved in 1996, TRA began treating all of Arlington's wastewater when facilities constructed by Arlington were completed in September 2000. These pipeline facilities convey west Arlington wastewater to TRA System facilities, and on to the TRA treatment plant for final treatment. This Arlington to TRA pipeline project cost was \$11,000,000.

In 1989, TRA sold \$134.75 million in System Revenue Bonds to fund an expansion of the System's treatment plant from 100 to 135 MGD, which was placed into operation in early 1994. Subsequently in 1992, an additional \$33.0 million in System Revenue Bonds were issued to fund improvements required primarily in the System's 200 mile network of large diameter pipelines over the first half of a five-year planning period. These improvements increased the capacity of numerous segments of the pipelines, rehabilitated pipelines and initiated several engineering evaluations to define required improvements to the plant and pipelines in the future. In 1995, TRA issued \$43.515 million in System Revenue bonds to fund the remaining portions of the 1992-1996 capital plans. A new five-year plan for 1997-2001 resulted in relief and rehabilitation of interceptors and plant improvements. Initial funds of \$49 million were obtained from the 1998A bond issue. Also in 1998, \$67 million in bonds were refunded through TRA's issuance of the 1998B Revenue Refunding Bonds. In 2001, TRA issued an additional \$88.2 million in System Revenue Bonds through the Texas Water Development Board for plant improvements and relief pipeline construction as identified in the 2001 Capital Improvement Plan update. In early fiscal year 2003, TRA issued \$136 million in refunding bonds to pay off the Series 1993 bonds. This results in a decrease water treatment expense to the City.

TRA's five-year capital improvement plan for 2004-2009 included treatment process improvements and interceptor rehabilitation. Initial funds of \$106 million were obtained from a 2004 bond issue. Additional bonds in the amount of \$9.5 million were issued in 2005 for land acquisition and other related wastewater system improvements. In April 2007, a new update of the five-year capital improvement plan was issued outlining plans for expanding plant capacity from 162 MGD to 189 MGD, as well as badly needed relief (parallel) pipeline system construction. Estimated cost for these projects totals \$300 million, and funding was obtained from the Texas Water Development Board at below-market rates. The current plan includes a \$120 million bond issuance which took place in June 2007, and two additional issuances of \$90 million in February 2008 and March 2009 to complete the objectives of the updated capital improvement plan. An additional Texas Water Development Board bond of \$127.005 million was issued in 2010, and in June 2011, the Authority issued \$69.280 million in Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2011, for refunding the Series 2001 Bonds, producing a total debt service savings of \$5,046,248 through the life of the bonds. In October 2011, the Authority issued Series 2011A Texas Water Development Bonds in the amount of \$108.395 million and in August 2012, the Authority issued Series 2012 Texas Water Development Bonds in the amount of \$74.270 million. These funds were needed to continue various plant and pipeline improvements. In 2013, TRA

issued \$26,740,000 in System Revenue Bonds to fund collection system and treatment plant improvements. Additional bond issues are scheduled in outlying years to continue process and collection system improvements. The timing and amounts of these bonds will be determined at a later date.

The 162 MGD CRWS Plant is situated on a 500 acre site in Grand Prairie. The CRWS Plant uses a conventional activated sludge process enhanced for nitrification followed by filtration. Effluent quality discharged to the West Fork of the Trinity River has been excellent, meeting all regulatory requirements. The plant was selected by the state and federal regulatory agencies as the best large treatment plant in EPA's Region 6 five-state area during 1996 and has received the National Association of Clean Water Agencies Platinum Award for the second time in 2006, each award signifying five continuous years of Gold Awards (100% permit compliance). The CRWS Plant received the Platinum Award for fiscal year 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 under the Agencies' revised rules. A portion of the treated effluent is delivered for beneficial reuse to lakes in the Las Colinas area of Irving, where it is used for irrigation and lake and canal level control. Revenue from this sale is credited to the parties of the System.

Plant solids removed by this treatment-plant are now being beneficially reused by a land application program, which exports all biosolids from the plant site. An onsite sludge monofill exists with a 20-year remaining life, as a backup to the land application program and to provide an alternative disposal method in the event contractor failure or other unanticipated failure occurs.

For TRA's fiscal year beginning December 1, 2014, the volume of contributing flow by the City is estimated to average 35.858 MGD, which amounts to approximately 27.258 percent of the total volume of wastewater flow into the CRWS plant. This percentage of wastewater flow is used to determine the City's annual requirements under this contract. Arlington has the largest service area population and contributes the highest average daily flow of all TRA CRWS Plant customers. The City's cost of wastewater treatment budgeted for 2015 is \$29,137,082.

In addition, the City is a party to a contract (the "Arlington Project Contract") dated October 10, 1973, under which TRA constructed certain improvements to the City's System with the proceeds of its revenue bonds, which the City, by the terms of the contract, was to pay, together with certain fees and administrative overhead. The payment of these bonds was completed in August 2000, as was the final administrative overhead payment.

The facilities constructed by TRA related to the Arlington Project Contract are integral parts of the System and are maintained and operated by the City. Ownership of such facilities was vested in the City when all of the TRA bonds were paid.

## Stormwater System

### Municipal Drainage Utility System

Chapter 552, Subchapter C of the Texas Local Government Code provides the authority for municipalities to establish a municipal drainage utility system and to develop a schedule of charges within the City. This enabling legislation was created in order to provide municipalities a funding source to address public health and safety in municipalities from loss of life and property by surface water overflows, surface water stagnation, and pollution arising from nonpoint source runoff within the boundaries of an established surface area.

The City established a Municipal Drainage Utility System in August, 1990, to protect the public health and safety from loss of life and property caused by surface water overflows and surface water stagnation.

### Drainage Utility Charges and Billing

The City charges “Stormwater” Fees in support of the System. The current stormwater fee structure and rates became effective on November 1, 2014. The current residential fee structure is described in the “Residential Monthly Drainage Utility Fee Rates” table. Commercial property owners are charged based on an impervious area calculation shown. A stormwater fee is added to each monthly utility bill. The City has the authority to impose stormwater fees by ordinance without limitation.

### Residential Property

Residential parcels include any benefited property platted, zoned or used for residential development including single family, duplex, triplex, quadraplex, town homes, manufactured homes or other improved parcel upon which buildings contain less than five dwelling units. Residential parcels will be billed based on one Equivalent Residential Unit (“ERU”) at the scheduled rate, for the number of dwelling units.

### Residential Monthly Drainage Utility Fee Rates

The fee structure and historical rates are as follows:

Date of Rate Change	Flat Rate
October 1, 2009	\$ 3.50
October 1, 2010	\$ 4.25
October 1, 2011	\$ 4.25
October 1, 2012	\$ 4.25
October 1, 2013	\$ 4.25
November 1, 2014	\$ 4.75
October 1, 2015	\$ 5.25
October 1, 2016	\$ 5.75
October 1, 2017	\$ 6.25
October 1, 2018	\$ 6.75
October 1, 2019	\$ 7.25
October 1, 2020	\$ 7.50

- (1) Commercial Rate is calculated using the residential rate times the ERU. The minimum ERU rate is 1.0.
- (2) Phase in of a new rate structure began on November 1, 2014 and will continue annually through October 1, 2020.

### Commercial Property

Every Commercial property owner pays the same unit rate based on the amount of impervious area on the property. Impervious area is defined as a surface that is resistant to infiltration by water. Several examples of impervious area include asphalt or concrete pavement, parking lots, driveways, sidewalks and buildings. Based on a study of Arlington residential property, the average square feet of impervious surface is 2800, referred to as an Equivalent Residential Unit (ERU).

Non-residential parcels include all benefited property that is not defined as residential by the Stormwater Drainage ordinance, including commercial, industrial, institutional, multi-family and governmental property. The monthly fee for non-residential parcels is determined by dividing impervious area square footage by 2800 square feet and multiplying by the current rate – the result shall be a minimum of 1 ERU for each non-residential account.

**Other Drainage Utility Fee Information**

Failure to pay drainage utility fees promptly when due may subject users to discontinuance of any utility services provided by the City. Apartments are considered non-residential for the purpose of the calculation of the stormwater fee. Any non-residential property on which mitigation measures have been taken may be eligible for a credit to the stormwater fee. The Director of Public Works and Transportation shall adjust the fee for such properties according to the actual mitigative effect of the measures taken. Best Management Practices (BMPs) that were required as part of development plan approval will not be eligible for such credits.

**Drainage Account History**

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Residential	94,648	94,291	93,391	92,807	92,649
Commercial	5,363	5,355	5,315	5,231	5,233
<b>Total</b>	<b>100,011</b>	<b>99,646</b>	<b>98,706</b>	<b>98,038</b>	<b>97,882</b>

---

Source: Public Works & Transportation

## **Investments**

The City invests its funds in investments authorized by Texas law in accordance with investment policies approved by the Mayor and City Council. Both state law and the City investment policies are subject to change.

### **Legal Investments**

Under Texas law, the City is authorized to invest in the following:

- (1) Obligations of United States Treasuries, United States agencies and instrumentalities.
- (2) Other obligations, the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by, or backed by the full faith and credit of this state, the United States or their respective agencies and instrumentalities; including obligations that are fully guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or by the explicit full faith and credit of the United States.
- (3) Obligations of Texas, its agencies, counties, cities, and other political subdivisions rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than “AA” or its equivalent (10% of portfolio; 2% per issuer).
- (4) Obligations of other states, its agencies, counties, cities, and other political subdivisions rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than “AA” or its equivalent (10% of portfolio; 2% per issuer).
- (5) Certificates of Deposit issued by a depository institution that has its main office or a branch office in Texas. The certificate of deposit must be guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or its successor or secured by obligations in a manner and amount as provided by this Policy for bank deposits. In addition, Certificates of Deposit obtained through a broker or depository institution that has its main office or a branch office in Texas and that contractually agrees to place the funds in federally insured depository institutions in accordance with the conditions prescribed in Section 2256.010(b) of the Act are authorized investments (50% of portfolio; 20% per issue).
- (6) Fully collateralized direct security repurchase agreements and reverse security repurchase agreements in accordance with the conditions prescribed in Section 2256.011 of the Act. A repurchase agreement shall have a defined termination date and be secured by a combination of cash and obligations of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities. These shall be pledged to the City, held in the City’s name, and deposited at the time the investment is made with a third party selected and approved by the City. Repurchase agreements must be purchased through a primary government securities dealer, as defined by the Federal Reserve, or a financial institution doing business in Texas. A Master Repurchase Agreement must be signed by the bank/dealer prior to investment in a repurchase agreement. All repurchase agreement transactions will be on a delivery vs. payment basis. Securities received for repurchase agreements must have a market value greater than or equal to 102% at the time funds are disbursed and throughout the term of the repurchase agreement (40% of portfolio (excluding flexible repos for bond proceeds); 15% per counterparty).
- (7) Commercial Paper that has a stated maturity of 270 days or less and is either rated not less than A-1+, P-1 or equivalent by at least two nationally recognized credit rating agencies or is rated by one nationally recognized credit rating agency and is fully secured by an irrevocable letter of credit issued by a bank organized and existing under the laws of the United States. The Commercial Paper issuer must have an underlying long term credit of at least “AA” or the equivalent (20% of portfolio; 5% per issue).
- (8) Guaranteed investment contracts for bond proceeds only in accordance with the conditions prescribed in Section 2256.015 of the Act 100% of bond proceeds.
- (9) No-load money market mutual funds that are registered and regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, have a dollar weighted average stated maturity of 90 days or less, seek to maintain a net asset value of \$1.00 per share, and are rated AAAM or an equivalent rating, by at least one nationally recognized rating service (100% of portfolio; 15% per fund).
- (10) Local government investment pools, which meet the requirements of Section 2256.016 of the Act, are rated no lower than AAA or an equivalent rating, by at least one nationally recognized rating service, and are authorized by resolution or ordinance by the City Council. In addition, a local government investment pool created to function as a money market mutual fund must mark its portfolio to the market daily and to the extent reasonably possible, stabilize at \$1.00 net asset value (100% of portfolio; 25% per pool; 2% of pool’s portfolio).

## Investment Policies

Under Texas law, the City is required to invest its funds under written investment policies that primarily emphasize safety of principal and liquidity, and the policy must address investment diversification, yield, maturity, and the quality and capability of investment management, and all City funds must be invested in investments that protect principal, and consistent with the operating requirements of the City, yield a market rate of return. Under Texas law, City investments must be made “with judgment and care, under prevailing circumstances, that a person of prudence, discretion, and intelligence would exercise in the management of the person’s own affairs, not for speculation, but for investment, considering the probable safety of capital and the probable income to be derived.” No person may invest City funds without express written authority from the City Council or Director of Finance of the City.

## Current Investments

The City’s primary investment objective is to provide for the protection of principal with an emphasis on safety and liquidity. The City maintains a comprehensive cash management program that includes prudent investment of its available funds. Investment maturities are targeted to provide available cash for the operating requirements of the City.

As of September 30, 2014, the City’s operating funds were invested in the following categories of investments:

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>% Invested</u>
Federal Agencies	41.96
Statewide Pools	37.51
Municipals	9.12
Certificates of Deposit	6.42
Cash	4.99
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

As of September 30, 2014, the weighted average maturity of the City’s operating portfolio was 214 days and the market value of the operating portfolio was 100 percent of its book value.

**SECTION TWO: DEBT STRUCTURE AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

**Tax-Supported Debt**

**Debt Statement**

Pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas and the Charter of the City, the City is authorized to issue general obligation bonds secured by an ad valorem tax on all property within its boundaries subject to local taxation. A tax rate limitation is imposed by the Home Rule Section of the Texas Constitution, Article XI, Section 5, that allows a maximum tax rate of \$2.50 per \$100.00 assessed valuation.

The following table details the ad valorem tax-supported debt of the City as of September 30, 2014:

Total Outstanding Tax-Supported Debt .....	\$325,315,000
Less Self-Supporting Debt .....	<u>54,109,035</u>
Tax-Supported Debt Less Self Supporting Debt .....	\$271,205,965

---

Source: City Finance Department

**Debt Information**

Information on the City's indebtedness is presented in the following tables. Included is information on key debt ratios, rapidity of principal retirement and selected debt service schedules.

In addition to the currently outstanding ad valorem tax-supported debt previously issued by the City, the City has also issued certain combination ad valorem tax and revenue supported debt and has incurred contractual and other indebtedness and liabilities payable from ad valorem taxation. Additionally, the City has issued revenue bonds and other indebtedness payable from specific pledged revenues. Various other political subdivisions, which overlap all or a portion of the area of the City are also empowered to incur debt to be paid from revenues raised or to be raised through taxation.

**Key Debt Ratios**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Estimated Population <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Estimated Taxable Valuation Calendar Year <sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>Net Tax-Supported Debt Year Ended September 30 <sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>Ratio of Net Tax-Supported Debt</b>	
				<b>Per Capita</b>	<b>Taxable Valuation</b>
2010	365,438	18,251,104,674	335,210,885	917	1.84%
2011	365,530	17,179,112,309	323,860,825	886	1.89%
2012	365,860	17,323,444,005	315,941,350	864	1.82%
2013	365,930	17,677,891,333	308,178,549	842	1.74%
2014	369,508	18,088,406,989	322,944,724	874	1.79%

<sup>(1)</sup> Population for 2010 is from the census. Estimates for other years were based on percent of occupancy in available residences and population for 2011 and 2014 is an estimate from the North Texas Council of Governments.

<sup>(2)</sup> Estimated taxable valuation is obtained from the certified value as of September of each year including minimum estimated value of property under protest.

<sup>(3)</sup> Net Debt is defined as total outstanding tax supported general obligation debt less debt service fund balance from the Financial Policy 1/8/2008.

---

Source: City Finance Department

**Rapidity of Principal Retirement  
All General Obligation Debt  
As of September 30, 2014**

<b><u>Maturing Within</u></b>	<b><u>Amount Maturing</u></b>	<b><u>Percent of Total Debt Outstanding</u></b>
5 years	\$138,760,000	42.7%
10 years	227,450,000	69.9%
15 years	293,635,000	90.3%
20 years	325,315,000	100.0%

---

Source: City Finance Department

## Debt Service Requirements

The following schedule reflects the principal and interest requirements on the City's outstanding debt for which ad valorem tax is pledged.

### Tax-Supported Debt Service Requirements

Fiscal Year Ending 9/30	Outstanding General Obligation Debt		Total Debt Service	% of Principal Retired
	Principal	Interest		
2015	32,025,000	13,179,873	45,204,873	
2016	30,380,000	11,695,879	42,075,879	
2017	28,485,000	10,491,234	38,976,234	
2018	24,580,000	9,336,005	33,916,005	
2019	23,290,000	8,349,991	31,639,991	42.7%
2020	20,515,000	7,430,363	27,945,363	
2021	18,845,000	6,622,859	25,467,859	
2022	17,585,000	5,875,999	23,460,999	
2023	16,415,000	5,162,983	21,577,983	
2024	15,330,000	4,484,269	19,814,269	69.9%
2025	14,260,000	3,858,796	18,118,796	
2026	14,345,000	3,304,770	17,649,770	
2027	13,925,000	2,737,198	16,662,198	
2028	12,930,000	2,153,173	15,083,173	
2029	10,725,000	1,661,691	12,386,691	90.3%
2030	8,900,000	1,265,106	10,165,106	
2031	7,940,000	926,331	8,866,331	
2032	7,065,000	613,975	7,678,975	
2033	5,610,000	326,406	5,936,406	
2034	2,165,000	86,600	2,251,600	100.0%
	<b>\$ 325,315,000</b>	<b>\$ 99,563,500</b>	<b>\$ 424,878,500</b>	

**Average Annual Debt Service      \$ 21,243,925**

Source: City Finance Department

**Total Net Tax-Supported Debt  
As of September 30, 2014**

Fiscal Year Ending 9/30	<u>Outstanding General</u> <u>Obligation Debt</u>		<u>Less Self</u> <u>Supporting Debt</u>		Total Net Tax Supported Debt Service
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	
2015	32,025,000	13,179,873	4,814,071	2,471,022	37,919,780
2016	30,380,000	11,695,879	4,873,180	2,231,394	34,971,305
2017	28,485,000	10,491,234	4,284,938	2,032,476	32,658,819
2018	24,580,000	9,336,005	3,115,355	1,840,846	28,959,804
2019	23,290,000	8,349,991	2,962,741	1,681,464	26,995,786
2020	20,515,000	7,430,363	1,921,500	1,546,277	24,477,586
2021	18,845,000	6,622,859	1,991,500	1,450,202	22,026,156
2022	17,585,000	5,875,999	2,012,750	1,350,627	20,097,622
2023	16,415,000	5,162,983	2,077,750	1,257,414	18,242,818
2024	15,330,000	4,484,269	2,152,750	1,153,527	16,507,992
2025	14,260,000	3,858,796	2,232,750	1,051,983	14,834,063
2026	14,345,000	3,304,770	2,317,750	952,220	14,379,800
2027	13,925,000	2,737,198	2,402,750	846,888	13,412,560
2028	12,930,000	2,153,173	2,497,750	737,305	11,848,118
2029	10,725,000	1,661,691	2,587,750	632,823	9,166,119
2030	8,900,000	1,265,106	2,682,750	524,290	6,958,066
2031	7,940,000	926,331	2,777,750	408,789	5,679,793
2032	7,065,000	613,975	2,882,750	286,255	4,509,970
2033	5,610,000	326,406	2,992,750	156,226	2,787,430
2034	2,165,000	86,600	527,750	21,110	1,702,740
	\$ 325,315,000	\$ 99,563,500	\$ 54,109,035	\$ 22,633,138	\$ 348,136,327

**Total Net Average Annual Debt Service** **\$ 17,406,816**

Self Supporting debt includes a portion of the Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2005, the Combination Tax and Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2008B, a portion of the Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation 2009A and 2009B, the Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2010B, a portion of the Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2011B, and a portion of the Certificates of Obligation 2014. To the extent that such revenues are insufficient to pay debt service on such obligations, the City will be required to levy an ad valorem tax.

Source: City Finance Department

**Portions of the Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds 2005 - Hotel Occupancy Tax**

The City will use hotel occupancy taxes to pay a portion of the debt service on the Series 2005 Refunding Bonds which refunded a portion of the Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 1998. Based on a calculation of the pro rata share of debt service on the Series 2005 Refunding Bonds, the hotel occupancy tax will provide \$1,364,149 of the total debt service on the Series 2005 Refunding Bonds from October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015. The 2005 Refunding Bonds are payable from (1) the proceeds of a continuing direct ad valorem tax levied, within the limits prescribed by law, against all taxable property within the City, and (2) a portion of the revenues derived by the City from the hotel occupancy tax. The hotel occupancy tax presently is levied and collected under authority of V.T.C.A., Government Code, Chapter 1504, as amended, and V.T.C.A., Tax Code, Chapter 351.

The Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 1998, pledge the "Surplus Revenues" of the City's hotel occupancy tax levied and collected under authority of V.T.C.A., Government Code, Chapter 1504, and V.T.C.A., Tax Code, Chapter 351, remaining after payment of all current and future debt obligations payable in whole or in part from the

City's hotel occupancy tax receipts. The following excerpt from the ordinance authorizing the Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 1998, describes the method of payment:

"The amount of taxes to be provided annually for the payment of principal of and interest on the Certificates shall be determined and accomplished in the following manner:

(a) the City's annual budget shall reflect (i) the amount of debt service requirements to become due on the Certificates in the next succeeding Fiscal Year of the City, (ii) the amount on deposit in the Interest and Sinking Fund, as of the date such budget is prepared (after giving effect to any payments required to be made during the remainder of the then current Fiscal Year) and (iii) the amount of Surplus Revenues estimated and budgeted to be available for the payment of such debt service requirements on the Certificates during the next succeeding Fiscal Year of the City.

(b) The amount required to be provided in the succeeding Fiscal Year of the City from ad valorem taxes shall be the amount, if any, the debt service requirements to be paid on the Certificates in the next succeeding Fiscal Year of the City exceeds the sum of (i) the amount shown to be on deposit in the Interest and Sinking Fund (after giving effect to any payments required to be made during the remainder of the then current Fiscal Year) at the time the annual budget is prepared, and (ii) the Surplus Revenues shown to be budgeted and available for payment of said debt service requirements.

(c) Following the final approval of the annual budget of the City, the governing body of the City shall, by ordinance, levy an ad valorem tax at a rate sufficient to produce taxes in the amount determined in paragraph (b) above, to be utilized for purposes of paying the principal of and interest on the Certificates in the next succeeding Fiscal Year of the City."

In the fiscal year 2015 Budget, the City estimated that \$7,416,000 of Hotel Occupancy Tax will be received by the City. This exceeds the \$1,364,149 of debt service requirements on Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 1998, and the allocable portion of Series 2005 Refunding Bonds. As shown in the section entitled "Tax Data - Hotel Occupancy Tax Receipts," Hotel Occupancy Tax Revenues in the fiscal years 2010 through 2014 have been more than adequate to pay debt service requirements on the Hotel Occupancy Tax Certificates and Bonds.

**Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2008B - Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone 5**

The City will use Tax Increment Finance revenues from TIRZ5 to pay the debt service on the Series 2008B Certificates. To the extent that such revenues are insufficient to pay debt service on such obligations, the City will be required to levy an ad valorem tax.

In the fiscal year 2015 Budget, the City estimated that \$921,940 of TIRZ5 revenue will be received by the City. This does not sufficiently cover the \$2,579,550 of FY15 debt service requirements on Combination Tax and Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2008B. As shown in the table below, Revenues in the fiscal years 2010 through 2014 have been less than adequate to pay debt service requirements on the Certificates of Obligation. The City has levied additional ad valorem tax to cover the difference.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>City of Arlington</u>	<u>JPS Health Network</u>	<u>TCCD</u>	<u>Tarrant County</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Sales Tax</u>	<u>Total Annual Revenues</u>
FY10	440,855	131,582	61,182	152,707	18,673	-	804,998
FY11	285,414	70,762	32,372	56,001	6,437	-	450,986
FY12	307,390	76,630	38,231	63,366	1,695	-	487,313
FY13	423,733	115,636	55,821	132,488	2,104	-	729,781
FY14	489,588	157,965	61,980	146,417	3,011	-	858,960
Total	1,946,979	552,576	249,586	550,980	31,920	-	3,332,039

**Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2010B and a portion of Series 2014- Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone 4**

The City will use Tax Increment Finance revenues from TIRZ4 to pay the debt service on the Series 2010B Certificates and a portion of Series 2014 certificates. To the extent that such revenues are insufficient to pay debt service on such obligations, the City will be required to levy an ad valorem tax.

In the fiscal year 2015 Budget, the City estimated \$2,320,358 in TIRZ 4 revenue, which exceeds the \$1,899,036 of debt service requirements on Series 2010B and Series 2014. As shown in the table below, revenues in the fiscal years 2010 through 2014 have been more than adequate to pay debt service requirements on the Certificates of Obligation.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>City of Arlington</u>	<u>JPS Health Network</u>	<u>TCCD</u>	<u>Tarrant County</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Sales Tax</u>	<u>Total Annual Revenues</u>
FY10	732,563	256,349	98,926	313,843	11,409	203,702	1,616,791
FY11	690,756	241,719	93,280	240,987	16,659	218,110	1,501,511
FY12	748,990	262,098	109,467	308,300	7,907	204,979	1,641,740
FY13	773,312	270,472	113,300	318,726	8,231	301,327	1,785,368
FY14	952,377	342,615	139,535	434,795	11,222	307,575	2,188,119
Total	3,897,997	1,373,253	554,508	1,616,652	55,427	1,235,692	8,733,529

**Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation 2009A and 2009B - Airport**

The City will use revenues and interest from Airport Gas Leases, to pay the debt service on the Series 2009A and 2009B Certificates. To the extent that such revenues are insufficient to pay debt service on such obligations, the City will be required to levy an ad valorem tax.

In the fiscal year 2015 Budget, the City estimates that \$175,000 of Airport general gas lease royalties and interest will be received by the City. This does not sufficiently cover the \$600,079 of FY15 debt service requirements on Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2009A and 2009B. Revenues in the fiscal years 2010 through 2014 have been less than adequate to pay debt service requirements on the Certificates of Obligation. The City has levied additional ad valorem tax to cover the difference. Additionally, the Airport general gas lease royalties and interest will continue to be transferred to cover the full amount of debt but over a 20 year period.

**Portions of the Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds 2011B – Water**

The City will use revenues from Water sales and Wastewater charges, to pay portion of the debt service on the Series 2011B Bonds. To the extent that such revenues are insufficient to pay debt service on such obligations, the City will be required to levy an ad valorem tax.

In the fiscal year 2015 Budget, the City estimated that \$119,084,272 of Water sales and Wastewater charges will be received by the City. Total revenues of about \$116,424,000 in fiscal year 2014 were more than adequate to pay debt service requirements of \$65,544 on the portion of the Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds 2011B.

**Portions of the Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2005 - Parks**

The City will use revenues from Golf Fees and Recreation Center usage fees, to pay a portion of the debt service on the Series 2005 Bonds. To the extent that such revenues are insufficient to pay debt service on such obligations, the City will be required to levy an ad valorem tax.

In the fiscal year 2015 Budget, the City estimated that \$776,735 of Golf fees and Recreation Center usage fees will be received by the City for debt service requirements. As shown in the table below, revenues in the fiscal years 2010 through 2014 have been more than adequate to pay debt service requirements on the portion of the Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2005.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Golf Fees</u>	<u>Recreation Fees</u>	<u>Total Annual Revenues</u>
FY10	4,127,851	3,971,019	8,098,870
FY11	4,361,008	4,174,103	8,535,111
FY12	5,105,377	4,251,290	9,356,667
FY13	4,848,157	4,748,979	9,597,136
FY14	4,508,503	4,866,497	9,375,000

## Tax Adequacy

The following analysis as of September 30, 2014, assumes 98 percent collection of ad valorem taxes levied against the City's fiscal year 2015 Taxable Valuation.

Average Annual Requirement (2015/2034) .....	\$17,406,816
A tax rate of \$0.0921 per \$100 assessed valuation produces .....	17,406,816
Average Annual Requirement (2015/2024) .....	26,285,767
A tax rate of \$0.1390 per \$100 assessed valuation produces .....	26,285,767
Maximum Annual Requirement (2015) .....	37,919,780
A tax rate of \$0.2006 per \$100 assessed valuation produces .....	37,919,780

## Estimated Overlapping Debt

The following table indicates the indebtedness, defined as outstanding obligations payable from ad valorem taxes, of governmental entities within which the City is located or with which taxable property is jointly levied against, and the estimated percentages and amounts of such indebtedness attributable to taxable property within the City. Such figures do not indicate the tax burden levied by the applicable taxing jurisdictions for operation and maintenance purposes. Furthermore, certain entities listed may have issued additional Bonds since the date stated in the table, and such entities may have programs requiring the issuance of substantial additional amounts of indebtedness, the amount of which cannot be determined.

### Overlapping Debt

	Amount <sup>(1)</sup>	As of	Percent	Amount
City of Arlington <sup>(2)</sup>	540,364,000	9/30/2014	100.00%	540,364,000
Arlington Independent School District	579,644,720	9/30/2014	76.88%	445,630,861
Fort Worth Independent School District	747,639,995	9/30/2014	0.52%	3,887,728
Hurst-Eules-Bedford Independent School District	320,947,221	9/30/2014	0.99%	3,177,377
Kennedale Independent School District	43,366,070	9/30/2014	52.08%	22,585,049
Mansfield Independent School District	719,518,374	9/30/2014	28.77%	207,005,436
Tarrant County	317,820,000	9/30/2014	14.12%	44,876,184
Tarrant County Hospital District	47,440,000	9/30/2014	14.10%	6,689,040
Tarrant County Junior College District	6,406,640	9/30/2014	14.05%	900,133
Viridian Municipal Management	20,975,000	9/30/2014	100.00%	20,975,000
<b>Total Direct and Overlapping Debt <sup>(3)</sup></b>				<b>1,296,090,809</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Net debt outstanding per representative of each jurisdiction

<sup>(2)</sup> Total outstanding debt as reported in the CAFR includes premiums less discounts. Debt includes City General Obligation Bonds, Certificates of Obligations, and Stadium Special Tax Revenue bonds.

<sup>(3)</sup> Substantially all of the City's residents are located within the Arlington I.S.D.

Sources: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas and City Finance Department.

## Water & Wastewater System Revenue Bonds

The following table sets forth the debt service requirements on the outstanding bonds of the Water and Wastewater System, formerly known as the Waterworks and Sewer System.

### Debt Service Requirements Water & Wastewater System Revenue Bonds As of September 30, 2014

Fiscal Year Ending 9/30	Principal	Interest	Total	% of Principal Retired
2015	11,540,000	4,072,752	15,612,752	
2016	10,955,000	3,788,043	14,743,043	
2017	10,310,000	3,464,168	13,774,168	
2018	10,275,000	3,154,518	13,429,518	
2019	9,845,000	2,837,728	12,682,728	42.8%
2020	9,895,000	2,554,273	12,449,273	
2021	8,805,000	2,246,706	11,051,706	
2022	8,015,000	1,955,698	9,970,698	
2023	7,995,000	1,702,409	9,697,409	
2024	7,225,000	1,446,850	8,671,850	74.4%
2025	6,395,000	1,210,178	7,605,178	
2026	6,395,000	996,536	7,391,536	
2027	6,395,000	787,648	7,182,648	
2028 ^	5,195,000	578,306	5,773,306	
2029	3,290,000	420,696	3,710,696	93.7%
2030	3,285,000	321,687	3,606,687	
2031	2,110,000	220,726	2,330,726	
2032	2,110,000	149,255	2,259,255	
2033	1,280,000	77,647	1,357,647	
2034	835,000	29,966	864,966	100.0%
	\$ 132,150,000	\$ 32,015,790	\$ 164,165,790	

**Average Annual Debt Service      \$ 8,208,289**

Note: Includes \$420k of principal draws from TWDB Series 2008 that have not yet occurred.

Source: City Finance Department

**Municipal Drainage Utility Facilities System Revenue Debt**

The following table sets forth the debt service requirements on the bonds of the Municipal Drainage Utility System.

<b>Fiscal Year Ending 9/30</b>	<b>Principal</b>	<b>Interest</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>% of Principal Retired</b>
2015	1,280,000	924,800	2,204,800	
2016	1,280,000	873,600	2,153,600	
2017	1,280,000	822,400	2,102,400	
2018	1,280,000	771,200	2,051,200	
2019	1,280,000	720,000	2,000,000	34.9%
2020	1,280,000	656,000	1,936,000	
2021	1,280,000	604,800	1,884,800	
2022	1,280,000	553,600	1,833,600	
2023	1,280,000	502,400	1,782,400	
2024	1,280,000	438,400	1,718,400	65.2%
2025	1,280,000	374,400	1,654,400	
2026	1,280,000	323,200	1,603,200	
2027	1,280,000	272,000	1,552,000	
2028	1,280,000	220,800	1,500,800	
2029	1,280,000	168,000	1,448,000	90.9%
2030	1,280,000	113,600	1,393,600	
2031	1,280,000	57,600	1,337,600	100.0%
	<b>\$ 21,760,000</b>	<b>\$ 8,396,800</b>	<b>\$ 30,156,800</b>	

**Average Annual Debt Service \$ 1,675,378**

Source: City Finance Department

### Dallas Cowboys Complex Special Obligations

The following table sets forth the total debt service requirements for the Series 2005A, 2005C Dallas Cowboys Complex Special Obligations and Series 2008 and 2009 Special Tax Revenue Bonds.

#### Dallas Cowboys Complex Debt Service Requirements September 30, 2014 Outstanding Bonds

Fiscal Year Ending 9/30	Principal	Interest	Total	% of Principal Retired
2015	8,070,000	10,592,791	18,662,791	
2016	8,530,000	10,207,813	18,737,813	
2017	8,570,000	9,781,313	18,351,313	
2018	13,235,000	9,372,313	22,607,313	
2019	13,815,000	8,753,163	22,568,163	34.0%
2020	14,455,000	8,106,713	22,561,713	
2021	15,300,000	7,418,500	22,718,500	
2022	16,240,000	6,601,000	22,841,000	
2023	16,995,000	5,733,000	22,728,000	
2024	17,860,000	4,824,750	22,684,750	72.1%
2025	19,035,000	3,870,250	22,905,250	
2026	20,030,000	2,852,500	22,882,500	
2027	21,035,000	1,781,500	22,816,500	
2028	10,430,000	656,750	11,086,750	
2029	-	135,250	135,250	99.0%
2030	-	135,250	135,250	
2031	2,705,000	135,250	2,840,250	100.0%
	<b>\$ 206,305,000</b>	<b>\$ 90,958,103</b>	<b>\$ 297,263,103</b>	

**Average Annual Debt Service    \$ 17,486,065**

Source: City Finance Department

### Tax-Supported Capital Improvement Program

The City's Capital Improvement Program ("CIP") provides for multi-year improvements to the City's public facilities along with the means of financing these improvements. The City's Capital Improvement Program is prepared annually and primarily enabled by recent bond election results. The City's most recent permanent improvement bond election, totaling \$236,000,000, was held on November 4, 2014 with all propositions passing. The propositions on the ballot included \$160,130,000 for Public Works; \$60,000,000 for Parks; \$9,780,000 for Fire; and \$6,090,000 for Libraries. Combined with the authorized but unissued bonds from prior elections, the City has \$295,345,000 in unissued permanent improvement bonding authority.

#### Remaining Bonds to Sale

Election Year	Bond Propositions	Authorized Amount	Previously Issued	Unissued
1993	Library Mobile and Portable Facilities	570,000	0	570,000
2003	Drainage-Erosion Control	1,900,000	0	1,900,000
2003	Traffic Management	400,000	0	400,000
2008	Drainage-Johnson Creek	12,000,000	0	12,000,000
2008	Fire	9,090,000	7,120,000	1,970,000
2008	Library	500,000	0	500,000
2008	Parks and Recreation	15,500,000	11,491,000	4,009,000
2008	Streets and Transportation	103,735,000	65,739,000	37,996,000
2014	Fire	9,780,000	0	9,780,000
2014	Library	6,090,000	0	6,090,000
2014	Parks and Recreation	60,000,000	0	60,000,000
2014	Streets and Transportation	160,130,000	0	160,130,000
		<b>\$ 379,695,000</b>	<b>\$ 84,350,000</b>	<b>\$ 295,345,000</b>

### Water & Wastewater System Capital Improvement Program

The City's Water Utilities Department maintains a program of annually updating its estimate of foreseeable system capital improvements. This is accomplished through the joint efforts of the Operations, Treatment and Business Services Divisions of the Water Utilities Department and independent consulting engineers. The Water Utilities Department annually reviews its proposed Capital Improvement Program with the City Council.

The following table represents the estimated amount of financing needed to meet the proposed Capital Improvement Program for the fiscal years shown.

#### Water Proposed Capital Improvement Program (Amounts in thousands)

Fiscal Year	Planned Capital Expenditures	Planned Bond Sale	Other Financing Sources <sup>(1)</sup>
2015	37,696	18,776	18,920
2016	55,177	27,722	27,455
2017	58,920	37,600	21,320

#### Stormwater Proposed Capital Improvement Program (Amounts in thousands)

Fiscal Year	Planned Capital Expenditures	Planned Bond Sale	Other Financing Sources
2015	5,805	-	5,805
2016	6,355	-	6,355
2017	7,755	-	7,755

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes annual budgeted amounts for the water and wastewater main replacement program, cash contributions from the operating fund to the capital fund and remaining bond proceeds.

## **SECTION THREE: FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

### **Basis of Accounting & Accounting Structure**

The accounting records of the City are maintained on the modified accrual basis of accounting for the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds, Capital Projects Funds and Agency Funds. The accrual basis of accounting is used for the Enterprise Funds and the Internal Service Funds. In general, under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded as received in cash except for material revenues considered to be both measurable and available to finance current year appropriations, which are recognized as revenue when earned. Expenditures are recorded in the period in which liabilities are incurred. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when liabilities are incurred without regard to receipts or disbursements of cash.

### **Certificate of Achievement**

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the City of Arlington for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. The City was awarded a Certificate of Excellence for its CAFR 2005 through 2013. The City has also received GFOA's Award for Distinguished Budget Presentation for fiscal years 1986 through 2014.

### **Accounting Standards**

The basic financial statements are prepared in conformity with GASB Statement No. 34 which requires the government-wide financial statements to be prepared using the accrual basis of accounting and the economic resources measurement focus. Government-wide financial statements do not provide information by fund or account group, but distinguish between the City's governmental activities and activities of its discretely presented component units on the Statement of Net Position and Statement of Activities. Significantly, the City's Statement of Net Position includes both noncurrent assets and noncurrent liabilities of the City, which were previously recorded in the General Fixed Assets Account Group and the General Long-term Debt Account Group. In addition, the government-wide statement of activities reflects depreciation expenses on the City's capital assets, including infrastructure.

In addition to the government-wide financial statements, the City has prepared fund financial statements, which continue to use the modified accrual basis of accounting and the current financial resources measurement focus for governmental funds. The accrual basis of accounting and the economic resources measurement focus is utilized by proprietary fund types and the pension trust fund. Under this method, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred. Accordingly, the accounting and financial reporting of the City's General Fund, Capital Projects Funds and Debt Service Funds is similar to that previously presented in the City's financial statements, although the format of financial statements has been modified by Statement No. 34. The following major funds are used by the City:

### **Governmental Funds**

The following is a description of the Governmental Funds of the City:

General Fund - accounts for several of the City's primary services (Public Safety, Public Works, Public Health, Public Welfare, Parks and Recreation, etc.) and is the primary operating unit of the City.

Debt Service Fund - accounts for the resources accumulated and payments made for principal and interest on long-term general obligation debt of governmental funds.

Street capital project fund - accounts for the financing and acquisition of right of way and construction of streets and related facilities. Funds are provided primarily through bond sales, and interest earnings.

Other Governmental Funds - is a summarization of all of the non-major governmental funds, including capital project and special revenue funds.

## **Proprietary Funds**

The following is a description of the City's Proprietary Funds:

Water and Sewer Fund - accounts for the operation of the City's water and sewer utility. Activities of the fund include administration, operation and maintenance of the water and sewer system and billing and collection activities. The fund also accounts for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, long-term debt principal and interest for revenue bonds and obligations under capital leases when due throughout the year. All costs are financed through charges made to utility customers with rates reviewed regularly and adjusted if necessary to ensure integrity of the fund.

Stormwater Fund - accounts for the maintenance of the City's stormwater system. Activities of the fund include administration, operation and maintenance of the stormwater system, and actions to comply with federal and state laws and regulations related to the Clean Water Act. The fund will also account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, long-term debt principal and interest. All costs are financed through stormwater fees collected as part of the Water and Wastewater billings.

## **Enterprise Funds**

The following is a description of the City's Enterprise Funds:

Water Utility Fund - accounts for the administration, operation and maintenance of the water and sewer utility system, as well as billing and collection activities. The Fund also accounts for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, long-term debt principal and interest for revenue bonds and obligations under capital leases when due throughout the year. All costs are financed through charges made to utility customers with rates reviewed regularly and adjusted if necessary to ensure integrity of the Fund. The City's solid waste function is contracted out. The billings for this function are done by the City as a conduit for the contractor. The fee for this service is accounted for in the Water Utility Fund, while revenues from solid waste franchise fees and landfill royalties are accounted for in the General Fund.

Storm Water Utility Fund - Fund accounts for the design, construction and maintenance of the City's storm water drainage systems.

## **Other Fund Types**

The City additionally reports for the following Fund types:

Internal Service Fund - is used to account for the financing of goods or services provided by one department or agency to other departments or agencies of the City, generally on a cost reimbursement basis.

Agency Fund - is used to account for assets held by the City in an agency capacity for individuals, local law enforcement agencies or developers.

Pension Trust Fund - is used to account for the accumulation of resources to be used for the retirement benefit payments to employees of the City.

## **Component Units**

Component units are organizations for which the City is financially accountable and all other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the City are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. Component Units discretely presented include the Arlington Housing Authority, the Arlington Housing Finance Corporation, the Arlington Convention Center Development Corp., the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Inc. (dba Experience Arlington), the Arlington Tomorrow Foundation and the Arlington Industrial Development Corporation. The component unit, Arlington Property Finance Authority, Inc., has been blended with those of the City because (i) its governing body is substantially the same as the governing body of the City or (ii) the component unit provides services entirely to the City.

### Certain Operations of the General Fund

The General Fund of the City is that accounting entity which is used to account for all transactions which are not accounted for in another fund and which, specifically, receives all revenues and records all expenditures relating to the ordinary operations of general government. Other funds of the City include Special Revenue Funds, Capital Project Funds, Enterprise Funds, and Debt Service Funds.

Summaries for fiscal years 2010 to 2014 have been compiled from the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports of the City, which were examined by the City's independent auditors. These summaries should be read in conjunction with their related financial statements and notes.

#### Consolidated Financial Statements-General Fund

Fiscal Year Ending September 30

(amounts in thousands)

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
<b>Beginning Fund Balance</b>	\$56,740	\$63,497	\$66,775	\$66,567	\$58,281
<b>Revenues</b>					
Ad Valorem Taxes	76,774	75,767	74,279	72,801	79,521
Sales Tax	53,147	53,392	50,725	48,382	45,884
Other Taxes	1,873	1,910	1,154	1,633	1,945
Franchise Fees	26,970	25,550	25,600	27,260	25,769
Service Charges	5,345	5,100	5,355	6,904	5,091
Interest	1,842	1,909	2,168	2,009	809
All Other	<u>31,805</u>	<u>30,562</u>	<u>30,875</u>	<u>30,281</u>	<u>31,025</u>
Total Revenues	<u>\$197,756</u>	<u>\$194,190</u>	<u>\$190,156</u>	<u>\$189,270</u>	<u>\$190,044</u>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Total Expenditures	<u>\$206,056</u>	<u>\$205,802</u>	<u>\$198,279</u>	<u>\$189,505</u>	<u>\$186,835</u>
<b>Net Revenues Over (Under)</b>					
<b>Expenditures</b>	<u>\$ (8,300)</u>	<u>\$ (11,612)</u>	<u>\$ (8,123)</u>	<u>\$ (235)</u>	<u>\$ 3,209</u>
<b>Other Financing Sources</b>					
Operating Transfers	<u>7,751</u>	<u>4,855</u>	<u>4,845</u>	<u>443</u>	<u>5,077</u>
<b>Ending Fund Balance</b>	<u>\$ 56,191</u>	<u>\$ 56,740</u>	<u>\$ 63,497</u>	<u>\$ 66,775</u>	<u>\$ 66,567</u>

---

Source: Fiscal Year 2014 CAFR

The following table presents a comparison of the City's General Fund balance for fiscal years 2010 to 2014.

**General Fund Balance**  
**Fiscal Year Ended September 30**  
(amount in thousands)

General Fund Balance:	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Nonspendable:					
Inventory	\$ 1,206	\$ 1,172	\$ 1,252	\$ 1,228	\$ 1,163
Prepays	23	20	16	16	-
Committed to:					
Utility Rate Case	500	500	500	500	500
Capital Projects	-	-	-	1,122	-
Assigned to:					
Encumbrances	4,449	5,235	7,766	5,613	4,316
Working Capital	17,537	17,076	16,745	16,054	16,218
Subsequent Years' Expenditures	6,313	6,147	6,378	5,944	5,839
Arbitrage	-	-	-	-	75
Compensated Absences	1,372	1,263	1,252	1,252	1,312
Other Post Employment Benefits	1,718	1,718	1,718	1,718	1,718
Future Initiatives	17,151	17,206	21,487	21,487	21,487
Dispatch	756	615	566	380	422
Group Health	-	-	-	-	3,001
Information Technology	195	236	607	774	-
Business Continuity	4,062	4,062	5,155	4,538	6,889
Other Purposes	-	-	55	56	-
Unassigned	909	1,490	-	6,093	3,627
<b>Total General Fund Balance</b>	<u>\$ 56,191</u>	<u>\$ 56,740</u>	<u>\$ 63,497</u>	<u>\$ 66,775</u>	<u>\$ 66,567</u>
General Fund Balance as a Percentage of General Fund Expenditures	27.27%	27.57%	32.02%	35.24%	35.63%

Source: Fiscal Year 2014 CAFR

**Debt Service Fund Budget**  
**Fiscal Year 2015**

Beginning Fund Balance	\$ 2,483,527
Property Tax Revenue	39,957,619
Interest Revenue	75,000
Premium on Bond Issuance	120,000
Transfers In <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>5,202,404</u>
Total Available for Debt Service	47,838,550
Debt Service Expenditures	<u>(45,355,023)</u>
 Estimated Ending Fund Balance	 <u>\$ 2,483,527</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes transfers to the Debt Service Fund from the Convention and Event Service Fund, Park Performance Fund, TIRZ5, TIRZ4, Airport General Gas Lease Fund, and Water and Wastewater Fund.

Source: Fiscal Year 2015 Budget

## Current Operating Budget

On September 16, 2014, the City Council adopted a total Budget for fiscal year 2015 with expenditures of \$413,074,971. The adopted General Fund Budget reflects a property tax rate of \$0.6480/\$100.

The Adopted Budget for fiscal year 2015 maintains core services levels and programs within tight financial constraints. The budget includes a 2% raise for civilians and a market based raise for sworn employees, implemented in January 2015.

The Adopted Budget authorizes City government personnel of 2,492 full-time positions, an increase of seventeen positions from the fiscal year 2014 budget.

The following table shows the City's estimated revenues and budgeted expenditures for fiscal year 2015, as reported in the Adopted Budget.

### Estimated Revenues and Budgeted Expenditures Fiscal Year 2015 Budget <sup>(1)</sup>

REVENUES	FY15 Budget	% FY 15 Budget
Property Taxes	79,236,434	19%
Sales Tax	54,275,305	13%
Other Taxes	2,375,044	1%
Licenses and Permits	6,189,750	1%
Utility Franchise Fees	36,218,222	9%
Fines and Forfeitures	16,179,281	4%
Leases and Rents	5,986,209	1%
Service Charges	14,116,463	3%
Miscellaneous Revenues	1,551,423	0%
Water and Sewer Fund Revenues	124,549,878	29%
Storm Water Utility Fund	10,916,700	3%
Convention & Event Services Fund Rev	10,057,000	2%
Debt Service Fund (Property Tax)	40,152,619	9%
Street Maintenance Fund (Sales Tax)	13,628,826	3%
Park Performance Fund	10,206,126	2%
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>\$ 425,639,280</b>	<b>100%</b>
EXPENDITURES		
Neighborhood Services	160,067,715	39%
Capital Investment and Econ. Development	17,866,455	4%
Strategic Support	27,213,028	7%
Policy Administration	6,430,209	2%
Water and Sewer Fund	108,414,170	26%
Storm Water Utility Fund	6,275,383	2%
Convention & Event Services Fund	9,140,491	2%
Park Performance Fund	11,637,731	3%
Street Maintenance Fund	20,674,766	5%
Debt Service	45,355,023	11%
Transfers (Net)	-	0%
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$ 413,074,971</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>(1)</sup>All funds combined. Excludes interfund transfers.

## **General Fund Revenues & Expenditures**

The General Fund is the primary operating fund maintained by the City to account for revenue derived from City-wide ad valorem taxes, other local taxes, licenses, fees, permits, and certain other miscellaneous revenues. General Fund expenditures are the cost of general City government. The following is a discussion of the General Fund revenue structure and major classifications of General Fund expenditures.

### **General**

A major source of operational revenue and funds for tax-supported debt service payments is the receipts from ad valorem taxation. The following is a recapitulation of (1) the authority for taxation, including methodology, limitations, remedies and procedures; (2) historical analysis of collection and trends of tax receipts and provisions for delinquencies; and (3) an analysis of (a) the base, (b) the principal taxpayers, and (c) other ad valorem taxation that may compete with the City's tax collections. Additionally, sales tax, hotel occupancy tax and short term motor vehicle rental tax authority and collections are described.

#### **Authority for Ad Valorem Taxation**

Article XI, Section 5 of the Texas Constitution, applicable to cities of more than 5,000 in population, limits the ad valorem tax rate to \$2.50 per \$100 assessed valuation for all city purposes and makes no allocation of such tax rate between debt service requirements and expenses of general city government. The City operates under a Home Rule Charter that adopts these provisions of the Constitution. For fiscal year 2014, the Council levied a tax rate equal to \$0.6480 per \$100 assessed valuation of which \$0.2057 was allocated to pay debt service on outstanding tax-supported Bonds and notes. See "Tax Rate Distribution."

#### **Truth-in-Taxation Limitation**

The effective tax rate is the rate that will produce the same amount of operating revenue that the City levied the prior year on the same property. The rollback rate is the effective Maintenance and Operations rate multiplied by eight percent, and added to the calculated Interest and Sinking rate. If the tax rate adopted for the following fiscal year exceeds the greater of the effective rate or the rollback rate, the qualified voters of the City may petition for an election to determine whether to limit the increase of the tax rate to no more than eight percent. The City is required to hold public hearings to permit voter discussion should the proposed tax rate levy taxes in excess of the amount levied the prior fiscal year.

#### **Property Subject to Taxation**

All real property and tangible personal property in the City is subject to taxation except for certain mandated and discretionary exemptions granted pursuant to State law and the Property Tax Code. The Property Tax Code mandates exemption of public property, property exempt by federal law from ad valorem taxes, household goods, personal effects of an individual, and certain property of religious and charitable organizations, schools, and disabled veterans. The Property Tax Code authorizes cities to exempt the residential homestead of those over 65 years of age and the disabled. The Council currently exempts up to \$60,000 of the appraised value of such residential homesteads. The FY 2015 tax rolls reflect the Council granting persons 65 years of age and older, disabled persons and disabled veterans exemptions totaling \$1,045,563,045.

Article VIII, Section 1-b of the Texas Constitution provides the City with the authority to exempt a percentage of the market value of residential homesteads. The percentage may not exceed 20 percent in FY 2008 and each subsequent year. Where an ad valorem tax has previously been pledged for the payment of debt, the Council may continue to levy and collect the tax against the value of the exempt homesteads until the debt is discharged if the cessation of the levy would impair the obligation. The Council granted 20 percent residential homestead exemptions on the FY 2015 tax roll, which totaled \$1,836,385,862, or 19.14 percent of the FY 2015 taxable valuation of appraised value of all residential real estate. In addition, \$60,865,123 of value was reduced from the FY 2015 tax rolls in accordance with State law to reflect value of agricultural land based upon production rather than market value.

Section 23.83 of the Property Tax Code allows taxes to be deferred on property that is restricted to scenic use. Deferrals were first claimed under this section in 1990. The FY 2015 tax roll reveals a value loss of \$8,344,115 due to scenic deferrals.

Chapter 312 of the Property Tax Code allows the Council to designate reinvestment zones and to enter into tax abatement agreements with property owners within these zones. The abatement value loss on the FY 2014 tax roll is \$166,262,704. A schedule of abated values for the FY 2014 by property owners is as follows:

<b>Property Owner</b>	<b>FY14</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY11</b>	<b>FY10</b>
Pioneer 360	10,752,669	13,018,529	-	-	-
Siemens Dematic	5,754,017	12,635,409	15,077,027	16,372,593	24,964,845
Americredit	-	-	15,947,204	16,128,873	31,967,050
General Motors	143,182,332	-	-	15,325,278	74,857,446
Primera	-	-	-	1,791,920	2,128,040
Lear Corporation	-	-	-	1,756,356	2,178,606
A E Petsche Property Inc	-	-	1,144,827	1,127,001	1,287,096
Pratt & Whitney Engine Service	2,295,899	3,051,661	411,662	516,618	2,478,548
Transnorm System Inc	-	152,607	146,247	130,154	124,269
MCR Oil Tools	-	413,966	413,966	-	-
Progressive Inc	4,277,787	4,823,307	5,163,797	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 166,262,704</b>	<b>\$ 34,095,479</b>	<b>\$ 38,304,730</b>	<b>\$ 53,148,793</b>	<b>\$ 139,985,900</b>

With the passage of Proposition 5 on November 7, 1989, the State Constitution was amended to allow for the taxation of temporarily located inventory on a local option basis. To continue taxation of this freeport property, the governing body of a taxing entity, such as the Council, was required to take action prior to January 1, 1990. The Council adopted an ordinance, which allowed for the continued taxation of freeport property for 1990 and subsequent years. On January 13, 1998, the Council repealed the aforementioned ordinance, which has the effect of exempting freeport property from taxation effective January 1, 1999. This exemption is irrevocable under current State law. The amount of freeport appraised value subject to exemption for the FY 2015 tax roll was \$1,289,198,074 with \$460,996,168 actually exempted.

### **Tax Increment Financing Districts**

The TIF Districts have a nine-member board of directors, five appointed by the City of Arlington and four members appointed by the other taxing jurisdictions. The board of directors prepares and adopts project plans and reinvestment zone financing plans for the TIF Districts and submit such plans to the City for its approval.

The City Council adopted an ordinance on November 3, 1998, establishing a tax increment financing district (the “TIF District #1”) encompassing approximately 533 acres in the City’s downtown area. The TIF District took effect on January 1, 1999 and will terminate on December 31, 2018. The City Council can terminate the TIF District at an earlier date by subsequent ordinance. The tax increment base will be the total net appraised value of all taxable property located in the reinvestment zone on January 1, 1998. All eligible tax jurisdictions are participating for the full amount of their maintenance and operations portion of their respective tax rates. The City’s tax increment payment for FY14 was \$317,295.

The City Council adopted an ordinance on September 27, 2005, establishing a tax increment financing district (the “TIF District #2”), encompassing approximately 2,000 acres in the northeast quadrant of the City. The TIF District took effect on January 1, 2006 and was dissolved by the City Council on March 27, 2007. TIF District #2 was replaced by TIF District #6.

The City Council adopted an ordinance on October 11, 2005, establishing a tax increment financing district (the “TIF District #3”) encompassing approximately 210 acres on the eastern side of the City. The TIF District took effect on January 1, 2006 and was terminated on September 2, 2008 by Ordinance Number 08-070.

The City Council adopted an ordinance on November 8, 2005, establishing a tax increment financing district (the “TIF District #4”) encompassing approximately 320 acres in the City’s south central area. The TIF District took effect on January 1, 2005 and will terminate on December 31, 2025. The tax increment base will be the total net appraised value of all taxable property located in the reinvestment zone on January 1, 2005. All eligible taxing jurisdictions except AISD are participating for varying percentages of their respective tax rates. The City’s tax increment payment for FY14 was \$952,377. The City sold \$5,755,000 in Certificates of obligation in June, 2010 and \$10,555,000 in June 2014 for TIF District # 4.

The City Council adopted an ordinance on December 19, 2006, establishing a tax increment financing district (the “TIF District #5”) encompassing 2,187 acres generally defined by Lamar Boulevard to the north, the Union Pacific Railroad to the south, State Highway 360 to the east and Collins Street to the west. TIF District # 5 took effect on January 1, 2007 and will terminate on December 31, 2036. The tax increment base will be the total net appraised value of all taxable property located in the reinvestment zone on January 1, 2007. All eligible tax jurisdictions except AISD are participating for varying percentages of their respective tax rates. The City’s tax increment payment for FY14 was \$489,588. \$34,010,000 in Certificates of Obligation were sold for TIF District # 5 in July 2008.

The City Council adopted an ordinance on December 18, 2007, establishing a tax increment financing district (the “TIF District # 6”) encompassing approximately 2,000 acres in the northeast quadrant of the City. TIF District # 6 took effect on January 1, 2007 and will terminate on December 31, 2036. All taxing entities except HEBISD are participating, and Tarrant County College District began in year 5. The City’s tax increment payment for FY14 was \$391,351. In 2011, within the boundaries of the Viridian TIF, the Viridian Municipal Management District (MMD) issued \$12,040,000 in Utility bonds and \$8,935,000 in Road bonds. The MMD also levies a tax in order to pay debt service on the bonds. In FY14, the MMD tax rate was \$0.4481 per \$100 of assessed valuation.

### **Appraisal of Taxable Property**

The Property Tax Code established a county-wide appraisal district in each county of the State. Each appraisal district assumed the responsibility of appraising all taxable property and preparing and certifying the tax rolls for each unit of government that levies ad valorem tax in that county. Under the 1981 amendment to the Property Tax Code, the City is now entitled to vote, in the proportion to its taxes levied in Tarrant County, in selecting the governing board of the appraisal district. A city, or other taxing unit, may challenge the appraisals assigned to property within its jurisdiction under certain limited circumstances. These entities can also sue the appraisal district to compel it to comply with the Property Tax Code.

The City's FY 2014 appraisal roll was prepared and certified by the Tarrant Appraisal District's Chief Appraiser and Appraisal Review Board. Such appraisal rolls are used by the City in establishing its tax rate. The Mayor and City Council are responsible for setting the rate, levying and collecting the taxes. All taxable property in the City was valued on the City's tax roll at 100 percent of its estimated market value as of January 1, 2013 for FY14. Taxes are due October 1 of the subject year and become delinquent after January 31 of the following year, except for a split payment option. Under the split payment option, adopted by the City beginning with fiscal year 2003, taxpayers can make one-half payment prior to December 1, and the final one-half payment prior to July 1 of the following year without penalty or interest. Since October 1, 2002, ad valorem taxes for the City have been collected by the Tarrant County Tax Assessor-Collector.

### **City's Rights in the Event of Tax Delinquencies**

In general, property subject to the City's lien may be sold, in whole or in parcels, pursuant to court order to collect the amounts due. Federal law does not allow for the collection of penalty and interest against an estate in bankruptcy. Federal bankruptcy law provides that an automatic stay of action by creditors and other entities, including governmental units, goes into effect with the filing of any petition in bankruptcy. The automatic stay prevents governmental units from foreclosing on property and prevents liens for post-petition taxes from attaching to property and obtaining secured creditor status unless, in either case, an order lifting the stay is obtained from the bankruptcy court. In many cases, post-petition taxes are paid as an administrative expense of the estate in bankruptcy or by order of the bankruptcy court.

### **Tax Limitation Election**

A City election was approved on February 5, 2005, which adopted a homestead property tax limitation for disabled individuals and individuals 65 years of age or older. This limitation on the residential homesteads of qualifying property owners is defined under the Texas Property Tax Code, section 11.621. The limitation cannot be repealed by any action of the City or through an election of the City under current state law. The homestead property tax ceiling limits the amount of taxes paid to the City based on the taxes paid in the first year that the property qualifies for the disabled exemption or the 65 years of age or older exemption. The limitation is a dollar amount and does not increase unless improvements are made to the residential homestead. For those property owners who qualified in 2005 for either exemption, the tax ceiling was set based on the taxes levied in September 2005 by the City. The tax ceiling carries forward to a surviving spouse age 55 or older of an individual who is 65 years of age or older.

The City has 65,820 residential homestead properties in FY 2015 and 17,306 of these properties received an exemption for a disabled individual or individual 65 years of age or older.

## Tax Revenue

The following table shows the City's principal tax revenues by source for each of the last five fiscal years. Growth in total tax revenues has averaged 1.10 percent per year over the last five years.

### Principal Tax Revenue by Source (Amounts in thousands)

<u>FY Ending</u> <u>Sept. 30</u>	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>General</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>Taxes</u>	<u>Hotel</u> <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Franchise</u> <u>Fees</u>	<u>Total</u>
	<u>Ad Valorem</u> <u>Taxes</u>	<u>Fund Sales</u> <u>Tax</u>		<u>Occupancy</u> <u>Tax</u>		
2010	79,521	45,884	1,945	6,706	25,769	159,825
2011	77,764	48,982	1,545	7,889	34,352	170,531
2012	74,279	50,725	1,154	7,859	32,296	166,314
2013	75,767	53,392	1,910	8,513	30,650	170,232
2014	76,774	52,805	2,215	9,396	32,315	173,505

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes both 7% for City and 2% for Stadium.

Source: City Finance Department

The following table sets forth the assessed value of all taxable property less exemptions in the City for each of its five most recent fiscal years. Tax-exempt properties owned by Federal and State governments, churches, and schools, totaling \$2,910,189,759 for FY14, are not included in the table. The Tarrant Appraisal District certified appraisal of taxable property less exemptions for FY14 is \$18,088,406,988. This value is obtained from the certified taxable value as of September of each year including minimum estimated value of property under protest.

### Historical Estimated Taxable Value <sup>(1)</sup> Fiscal Years 2010 to 2014

<u>FY Ending</u> <u>09/30</u>	<u>Taxable Value</u> <u>Real Property</u>	<u>% Change</u> <u>from Prior</u> <u>Year</u>	<u>Taxable Value</u> <u>Personal</u> <u>Property</u>	<u>% Change</u> <u>from Prior</u> <u>Year</u>	<u>Taxable Value</u> <u>Mineral</u> <u>Property</u>	<u>% Change</u> <u>from Prior</u> <u>Year</u>	<u>Total Estimated</u> <u>Taxable Value</u>	<u>% Change</u> <u>from Prior</u> <u>Year</u>
2010	15,594,948,319	(1.44)	2,518,615,655	2.68	137,540,700	6981.29	18,251,104,674	(0.14)
2011	14,790,032,598	(5.16)	2,248,799,281	(10.71)	140,280,430	1.99	17,179,112,309	(5.87)
2012	14,759,648,148	(0.21)	2,166,757,467	(3.65)	397,038,390	183.03	17,323,444,005	0.84
2013	15,021,327,851	1.77	2,289,771,862	5.68	366,541,970	(7.68)	17,677,641,683	2.04
2014	15,451,540,005	1.38	2,360,211,420	4.94	276,655,563	(24.23)	18,088,406,988	1.31

<sup>(1)</sup> Real and personal property is assessed at 100 percent of fair market value. Total estimated taxable value excludes abated value.

Source: Tarrant Appraisal District and City Finance Department

### Tax Rate Distribution

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
<b>General Fund</b>	0.4423	0.4423	0.4393	0.4330	0.4467
<b>Debt Service Fund</b>	0.2057	0.2057	0.2087	0.2150	0.2013
<b>Total</b>	0.6480	0.6480	0.6480	0.6480	0.6480

**Collection Ratios**

<u>FY Ending</u> <u>09/30</u>	<u>Estimated Net</u> <u>Taxable Value</u> <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Tax Rate</u>	<u>Calculated Levy</u>	<u>% Collected</u> <sup>(2)</sup>	
				<u>Current</u> <u>Year</u>	<u>Prior</u> <u>Years</u>
2010	18,251,104,674	0.648000	118,267,158	97.87	99.39
2011	17,179,112,309	0.648000	111,320,648	98.00	99.80
2012	17,323,444,005	0.648000	112,255,917	98.13	99.46
2013	17,677,641,683	0.648000	114,551,118	97.82	99.06
2014	18,088,406,989	0.648000	117,212,877	97.66	98.57

<sup>(1)</sup> Estimated Net Taxable Valuation is the certified roll as of September of each year including minimum estimated value of property under protest.

<sup>(2)</sup> Prior year's collections include current year collections, prior year delinquent collections and all penalty and interest collections.

Source: Tarrant Appraisal District and City Finance Department

**Analysis of Delinquent Taxes  
as of September 30, 2014**

<u>FY Ending</u> <u>9/30</u>	<u>Tax Levy</u>	<u>Uncollected</u>	<u>Percentage</u> <u>of Levy</u>
2005	101,083,596	243,787	0.24%
2006	104,610,406	193,907	0.19%
2007	108,821,392	196,180	0.18%
2008	114,126,102	222,063	0.19%
2009	118,435,518	311,871	0.26%
2010	118,267,158	403,035	0.34%
2011	111,320,648	420,715	0.38%
2012	112,255,917	917,054	0.82%
2013	114,551,118	946,728	0.83%
2014	117,212,877	828,609	0.71%

Source: City Finance Department

**Tax Base Distribution**

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
<b>Residential</b>	58.45%	58.33%	58.56%	59.04%	58.95%
<b>Commercial, Industrial, Retail</b>	38.03%	37.57%	37.00%	37.87%	38.36%
<b>Mineral</b>	1.53%	2.07%	2.29%	0.82%	0.74%
<b>Undeveloped</b>	1.99%	2.04%	2.15%	2.27%	1.95%

Source: Tarrant Appraisal District

**2014 Top Ten Taxpayers**

<b>Taxpayer</b>	<b>Total Taxable Value</b>
General Motors LLC	253,222,418
Oncor Electric Delivery Co LLC	151,740,179
Arlington Highlands LP	146,427,000
Parks at Arlington L P	142,695,717
Chesapeake Operating (WI)	122,475,510
Six Flags Fund II LTD	89,155,407
DFW Midstream Services LLC	66,251,584
Enervest Operating	64,791,920
Wal-Mart Real Estate Bus Trust/Stores Texas I	63,408,655
Lincoln Square Dunhill LP	63,020,863
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,163,189,253</b>

Source: Tarrant Appraisal District

### Municipal Sales Tax

The City has adopted the provisions of Sections 321.101 and 321.103 of the Texas Tax Code, which grants the City the power to impose and levy a one percent sales tax for general purposes of the City. On September 14, 2002, an election to adopt an additional one-quarter cent city sales and use tax for municipal street maintenance as permitted under Chapter 327 of the Texas Tax Code was held and the additional one-quarter cent sales and use tax was approved. The additional one-quarter cent sales and use tax became effective on January 1, 2003. It was reapproved in May 2006, May 2010 and May 2014. On November 2, 2004, an election to adopt an additional one-half cent sales and use tax for the Dallas Cowboys Complex Development Project as permitted by Chapter 334 of the Texas Local Government Code was held and the additional one-half cent sales and use tax was approved. The additional one-half cent sales and use tax became effective on April 1, 2005. The Comptroller of Public Accounts of the State of Texas, after the deduction of a two percent service fee, currently remits monthly the City's portion of sales tax collections to the City. The statute provides the Comptroller must remit at least twice annually. Revenue from sales tax levied for general purposes of the City may not be pledged, under the applicable statutes, to the payment of debt service of the City's debt obligations.

### Per Capita Sales Tax Collection

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Sales Tax Receipts</u>	<u>Ad Valorem Tax Levy</u>	<u>Sales Tax as a % of Tax Levy</u>	<u>Population Estimate</u>	<u>Per Capita Sales Tax Collection</u>
2010	46,875,684	118,267,158	40	365,438 <sup>(1)</sup>	128
2011	48,982,079	111,320,648	44	365,530 <sup>(2)</sup>	134
2012	50,724,512	112,255,917	45	365,860	139
2013	54,198,622	114,551,118	47	365,930	148
2014	53,412,259	117,212,877	46	369,508	145

<sup>(1)</sup> 2010 Census population.

<sup>(2)</sup> Revised from 365,930

Source: City Finance Department

## Hotel Occupancy Tax Receipts

Under the provisions of Section 351.002 and 351.003 of the Texas Tax Code, the City is authorized to levy and collect a hotel occupancy tax not to exceed seven percent of the price paid for a room in a hotel in the City which costs \$2 or more per day and is ordinarily used for sleeping (the "Hotel Occupancy Tax") to pay for or finance a variety of public improvements, including, specifically, convention center facilities. Section 351.103(b) of the Texas Tax Code states that the Hotel Occupancy Tax revenue allocated by the municipality cannot exceed 15 percent for the encouragement, promotion and application of the arts and cannot exceed 15 percent for historical preservation projects or activities. The City has levied a Hotel Occupancy Tax of seven percent since 1983. On November 2, 2004, an election was approved under Chapter 334 of the Texas Local Government Code to increase the Hotel Occupancy Tax by two percent for the Dallas Cowboys Complex Development Project. The additional two percent can only be used for this purpose and became effective on April 1, 2005. **The additional two percent is not reflected in the table below.**

The Series 2005 Refunding Bonds and the Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 1998 are payable in part from the Hotel Occupancy Tax. Set forth below are the revenues received by the City from the seven percent Hotel Occupancy Tax for the last five years:

Fiscal Year	Hotel Occupancy Tax Receipts
2010	5,220,210
2011	6,065,421
2012	6,102,269
2013	6,628,227
2014	7,307,874

---

Source: City Finance Department

## Dallas Cowboys Complex Development Project

On November 2, 2004, a majority of the voters of the City voted in favor of a proposition authorizing the City to provide for the planning, acquisition, establishment, development, construction and financing of the Dallas Cowboys Complex Development Project (the "Project") within the City and (i) to impose a sales and use tax within the City at a rate of one-half of one percent (0.5%), (ii) to impose a tax at a maximum rate of five percent (5%) on the gross rental receipts from the short-term rental in the City of a motor vehicle, (iii) to impose a tax on the occupancy of a room in a hotel located within the city, at a maximum rate of two percent (2%) of the price paid for such room, (iv) to impose an admissions tax on each ticket sold as admission to an event held at the Project at a maximum rate not to exceed ten percent (10%) of the price of the ticket, and (v) to impose a tax on each parked motor vehicle parking in a parking facility of the Project at a maximum rate not to exceed three dollars (\$3.00) per vehicle. On February 8, 2005, the City Council approved the Cowboys Complex Funding and Closing Agreement.

On September 1, 2005, the City issued \$297,990,000 Dallas Cowboys Complex Special Obligations (the "Obligations") in three series (Series 2005A, Series 2005B and Series 2005C) for this project. The remainder of the City's \$325,000,000 share of project costs came from excess sales, hotel and short term motor vehicle rental tax as well as interest earned on bond proceeds. The Obligations are limited obligations of the City, secured by a lien on and pledge of certain Pledged Special Taxes. Pledged Special Taxes consist of amounts received from the levy and collection of (i) a local sales and use tax of one-half of one percent (0.5%) (the "Sales Tax"); (ii) a five percent (5%) tax on the short-term rental in the City of a motor vehicle (the "Motor Vehicle Rental Tax"); and (iii) a two percent (2%) tax on hotel rooms located within the City (the "Hotel Tax"). The Series 2005C Bonds are additionally secured by and payable from the Pledged Rent which consists of annual rental payments of \$2,000,000 received under the Lease and five percent (5%) of certain naming rights proceeds, not to exceed \$500,000 annually, derived, if at all, from the sale by the Tenant of naming rights for the Cowboys Complex. In December 2008 the City issued \$112,185,000 in Special Tax Revenue Bonds to refund \$104,265,000 of the \$164,265,000 Dallas Cowboys Complex Special Obligations, Series 2005B and in May 2009 the City issued \$62,820,000 to refund the remaining \$60,000,000 of Series 2005B bonds. The refunding was done as a result of the market liquidity restrictions and the economic

downturn in 2008, which adversely affected the debt service costs for the Series 2005B bonds. On February 15, 2011, the City called \$26,000,000 of the August 15, 2034 Series 2005A Term Bonds for redemption. On August 15, 2011 the City called \$1,500,000 of these bonds for redemption. On February 15, 2012, the City called an additional \$7,700,000 of these bonds for redemption. On February 15, 2013, the City called an additional \$10,200,000 of these bonds for redemption. On August 15, 2013, the City called an additional \$3,100,000 of these bonds for redemption. On February 15, 2014, the City called an additional \$8,925,000 of these bonds for redemption. On August 15, 2014, the City called an additional \$4,000,000 of these bonds for redemption. On February 15, 2015, an additional \$2,705,000 was called which completed the Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption of which \$64,130,000 of the 2005A bond that was to mature August 15, 2034. These calls were mandated as part of the Special Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption requirements. On January 2, 2014, the City received \$500,000 after the Cowboys entered into a multi-year stadium naming rights agreement with AT&T. The City will receive 5% of the annual naming rights payments capped at \$500,000.

The Obligations are *not* secured by any mortgage or security interest in the Cowboys Complex or any of the revenues thereof or by any property of the Dallas Cowboys, the National Football League, or any of their affiliates, owners or partners, or, except as expressly provided herein, by the City, the State of Texas or any agency, political corporation or subdivision thereof and neither the faith and credit of any of them has been pledged to the payment of the Obligations.

The table below displays the revenues from the collection of the 0.5% Sales Tax, 5.0% Motor Vehicle Rental Tax, and the 2.0% Hotel Tax. The taxes were collected for six months during fiscal year 2005 beginning on April 1, 2005.

**Dallas Cowboy Complex  
Project Revenues and Debt Service Coverage**

<u>FY</u>	<u>Sales Tax</u>	<u>Motor Vehicle Rental Tax</u>	<u>Hotel Tax</u>	<u>Naming Rights</u>	<u>Rent</u>	<u>Total Revenues</u>	<u>Debt Service <sup>(1)</sup></u>	<u>Coverage</u>
2006	22,070,968	793,711	1,360,672	-	-	24,225,351	12,109,563	2.00 X
2007	22,653,714	781,397	1,459,619	-	-	24,894,730	12,109,563	2.06 X
2008	23,486,334	726,384	1,517,390	-	-	25,730,108	14,244,004	1.81 X
2009	23,122,330	597,408	1,376,441	-	666,667	25,762,846	27,842,248	0.93 X
2010	23,610,462	578,274	1,485,956	-	2,000,000	27,674,692	22,405,028	1.24 X
2011	24,704,639	630,567	1,872,982	-	2,000,000	29,208,188	21,755,028	1.34 X
2012	25,576,155	670,165	1,757,222	-	2,000,000	30,003,541	20,841,465	1.44 X
2013	26,716,577	662,010	1,891,698	-	2,000,000	31,270,285	20,377,102	1.53 X
2014	26,706,128	638,400	2,090,079	500,000	2,000,000	31,934,606	19,087,806	1.67 X
	<u>\$228,846,760</u>	<u>\$ 6,445,274</u>	<u>\$ 15,542,846</u>	<u>\$ 500,000</u>	<u>\$ 10,666,667</u>	<u>\$ 262,001,547</u>	<u>\$ 170,771,806</u>	<u>1.53 X</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> 2009 Debt Service included \$10,885,000 in swap termination fees which were paid from excess revenues.

Source: City Finance Department

## Financial Information Concerning the Water and Wastewater System

### Water and Wastewater Rates

The Council is authorized by its home rule charter and by laws of the State of Texas to establish and to amend rates charged for water and wastewater service. Rates fixed by the Council for domestic application are not subject to review by any other regulatory agency.

The two components of the rate structure are a fixed monthly charge based upon meter size and a volumetric charge per 1,000 gallons used. A separate fixed monthly fee was established for residential class customers with ¾-inch meters whose water and wastewater use is less than 2,000 gallons per month. The fixed charge, for meter sizes other than ¾-inch, increases with meter size to recognize the additional demands that large meter installations can place on the system.

The water volumetric charge is designed to encourage customers to efficiently use water. The volumetric charge increases with higher volumes of water usage for both residential and commercial class customers. Unlike the variable water volumetric rate, the wastewater volumetric rate per 1,000 gallons is a flat rate for all account classifications that will not change based on usage.

#### City of Arlington Water Utilities Fixed Monthly Fee Effective January 1, 2015

<u>Meter Size</u>	<u>Water</u>	<u>Wastewater</u>
¾" (≤2,000 gal)	\$ 5.60	\$ 4.90
¾" (≥3,000 gal)	9.00	8.90
1"	15.00	15.50
1 1/2"	34.30	35.30
2"	60.00	58.20
3"	141.00	119.00
4"	225.00	194.00
6"	524.00	477.00
8"	820.00	694.00
10"	1232.00	1029.00

**City of Arlington Water Utilities  
Conservation Rates Block Structure  
Effective January 1, 2015**

**RESIDENTIAL**

<u>Usage (1,000 gal)</u>	<u>Water</u>	<u>Wastewater</u>
0 - 2	\$1.78	\$3.70
3 - 10	2.46	3.70
11 - 15	3.55	3.70
16 - 29	4.22	3.70
≥ 30	5.24	3.70

**COMMERCIAL**

<u>Usage (1,000 gal)</u>	<u>Water</u>	<u>Wastewater</u>
0 - 15	\$2.40	\$3.70
≥ 16	2.67	3.70

**IRRIGATION**

<u>Usage (1,000 gal)</u>	<u>Rate</u>
0 - 29	\$4.22
≥ 30	5.24

**CONSTRUCTION**

<u>Usage (1,000 gal)</u>	<u>Rate</u>
0 - 99	\$5.52
≥ 100	6.96

**Historical Rate Adjustments**

Changes in revenue requirements during the past twenty years have resulted in the following changes in rates for the average residential customer. The overall system average residential customer usage is 10,000 gallons of water. Until December 1988, residential customers were also billed for up to 12,000 gallons of wastewater flows. At that time, the wastewater maximum for residential customers was reduced to 9,000 gallons. Since March 1990, wastewater flows have been based on average winter water consumption. Each residential customer's average winter wastewater flows are calculated according to their water use during the billing periods of December through March. The overall system winter average for a residential customer is approximately 6,000 gallons.

**Rate Changes by Percent  
Last Ten Fiscal Years  
Average Residential Customer  
Using 10,000 Gallons Water and 6,000 Gallons Wastewater**

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Water</u>	<u>Wastewater</u>	<u>Total</u>
2004	(8.4)	48.5	10.7
2005	2.6	3.4	2.9
2006	0.0	4.1	1.9
2007	(1.1)	10.2	4.2
2008	6.6	3.1	4.8
2009	10.2	9.5	9.9
2010	0.6	2.7	1.6
2011	2.8	3.5	3.2
2012	0.1	1.8	0.9
2013	0.0	2.4	1.2
2014	9.7	8.0	8.8

---

Source: City Water Utilities Department

**Operating Reserve**

The current policy, authorized by the City Council, requires the operating reserve to equal a minimum of 60 days of the proposed operating and maintenance expense budget, excluding debt service (Resolution No. 11-363). Additionally, the reserve can be increased to a 60 day level using excess unbudgeted revenues, if available. The reserve fund balance as of September 30, 2014 was \$15,129,817, which equals 60 days of operating and maintenance expense.

## Historical Financial Information

The following three tables present five-year historical information and selected financial ratios for the System. Unless otherwise noted, all information is from the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. Selected amounts and ratios in the tables are unaudited as noted. The tables are titled Water and Wastewater Statement of Net Position, Historical Net Revenues Available for Debt Service, and Historical Net Revenues of the System and Financial Ratios.

### Water and Wastewater System Statement of Net Position Fiscal Year Ended September 30 (Amounts in thousands)

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
<b>Assets</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	13,674	15,564	12,650	13,033	12,452
Receivable (net of allowances for uncollectibles)	14,673	14,946	15,063	17,997	15,875
Inventory of supplies, at cost	643	460	412	461	420
Restricted assets:					
Bond contingency	13,435	12,658	13,440	12,198	11,497
Capital/Bond construction	70,728	63,876	72,657	68,690	46,054
Meter deposits	5,211	5,107	4,973	4,904	4,853
Property, plant and equipment less accumulated depreciation	<u>616,977</u>	<u>603,111</u>	<u>582,724</u>	<u>558,815</u>	<u>553,386</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 735,342</u>	<u>\$ 715,722</u>	<u>\$ 701,919</u>	<u>\$ 676,098</u>	<u>\$ 644,537</u>
Deferred Outflows of Resources:					
Deferred loss on debt refunding	<u>\$ 1,479</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Total Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources	<u>\$ 736,821</u>	<u>\$ 715,722</u>	<u>\$ 701,919</u>	<u>\$ 676,098</u>	<u>\$ 644,537</u>
<b>Liabilities and Net Position</b>					
Current Liabilities:					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	4,028	3,711	3,402	3,311	3,387
Payable from restricted assets	21,654	21,483	15,031	11,650	12,628
Accrued compensated absences					
Current	130	151	133	147	105
Non Current/Long Term	1,645	1,599	1,534	1,311	1,638
Revenue bonds, net of discount, payable from unrestricted assets	<u>122,374</u>	<u>111,551</u>	<u>119,057</u>	<u>113,287</u>	<u>106,981</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 149,831</u>	<u>\$ 138,495</u>	<u>\$ 139,157</u>	<u>\$ 129,706</u>	<u>\$ 124,739</u>
Invested in Capital Assets	550,595	539,251	522,753	501,255	487,100
Restricted	16,169	14,299	18,655	19,706	10,140
Unrestricted	<u>20,226</u>	<u>23,677</u>	<u>21,354</u>	<u>25,431</u>	<u>22,558</u>
Total Net Position	<u>\$ 586,990</u>	<u>\$ 577,227</u>	<u>\$ 562,762</u>	<u>\$ 546,392</u>	<u>\$ 519,798</u>
<b>Total Liabilities and Net Position</b>	<u>\$ 736,821</u>	<u>\$ 715,722</u>	<u>\$ 701,919</u>	<u>\$ 676,098</u>	<u>\$ 644,537</u>

**Historical Net Revenues Available For Debt Service**  
**Fiscal Year Ended September 30**  
(Amounts in thousands)

<u><b>Revenues</b></u>	<u><b>2014</b></u>	<u><b>2013</b></u>	<u><b>2012</b></u>	<u><b>2011</b></u>	<u><b>2010</b></u>
Water Sales	\$ 59,327	\$ 59,007	\$ 61,937	\$ 70,339	\$ 57,459
Wastewater Service	52,096	50,162	47,999	48,076	44,890
Interest Income	279	281	375	565	889
Other Income	4,722	5,065	4,783	4,543	5,451
Total Revenues	<u>\$116,424</u>	<u>\$ 114,515</u>	<u>\$115,094</u>	<u>\$ 123,523</u>	<u>\$108,689</u>
<u><b>Expenses</b></u>					
Labor Costs	\$ 14,688	\$ 14,413	\$ 13,955	\$ 13,039	\$ 13,085
Supplies	3,476	3,456	3,448	3,264	2,955
Maintenance	4,235	3,831	3,508	3,487	2,780
Water Supply (The District)	21,191	18,821	17,931	16,531	13,676
Wastewater Treatment Contracts	28,151	25,274	23,979	23,987	20,873
Utilities	2,806	2,831	3,183	3,088	3,162
Other Expenses	4,125	4,315	11,899	15,321	4,199
Total Operating Expenses Before Depreciation	<u>\$ 78,672</u>	<u>\$ 72,941</u>	<u>\$ 77,903</u>	<u>\$ 78,717</u>	<u>\$ 60,730</u>
Net Revenues of the System	\$37,752	\$41,574	\$37,191	\$44,806	\$47,959
Interest During Construction Included Above	<u>(64)</u>	<u>(67)</u>	<u>(95)</u>	<u>(129)</u>	<u>(98)</u>
<b>Net Revenues Available for Debt Service</b>	<u>\$37,688</u>	<u>\$41,507</u>	<u>\$ 37,096</u>	<u>\$ 44,677</u>	<u>\$ 47,861</u>
Debt Service Paid <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 14,683	\$ 14,777	\$ 14,250	\$ 14,804	\$ 13,990
Debt Service Coverage (times)	2.57 x	2.81 x	2.60 x	3.02 x	3.42 x
Debt Service Requirements Paid From					
Surplus Net Revenues <sup>(2)</sup>	-	-	-	-	-

(1) Excludes TRA Revenue Bonds, accrued interest from bond sales, and refundings or cash defeasances.

(2) Unaudited

**Historical Net Revenues Of The System And Financial Ratios**  
**Fiscal Year Ended September 30**  
**(Amounts in thousands)**

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Gross Operating Revenues	\$ 116,424	\$ 114,515	\$ 115,094	\$ 123,523	\$ 108,689
Interest Revenues (Excluding Interest During construction)	215	214	280	436	791
Operating Expenses Before Depreciation	<u>(78,672)</u>	<u>(72,941)</u>	<u>(77,903)</u>	<u>(78,717)</u>	<u>(60,730)</u>
Net Revenues Available for Debt Service	<u>\$ 37,967</u>	<u>\$ 41,788</u>	<u>\$ 37,471</u>	<u>\$ 45,242</u>	<u>\$ 48,750</u>
Average Annual Debt Service	\$ 8,195	\$ 7,878	\$ 7,011	\$ 7,012	\$ 7,013
Average Annual Debt Service Coverage (times) <sup>(1)</sup>	4.63 x	5.30 x	5.34 x	6.45 x	6.95 x
Accounts Receivable to Gross Operating Revenues (%)	12.60%	13.05%	13.09%	14.57%	14.61%
Unrestricted Cash to Unrestricted Current Liabilites (times) <sup>(1)</sup>	2.57 x	2.81 x	2.60 x	3.02 x	3.42 x
Unrestricted Current Assets to Unrestricted Current Liabilites (times) <sup>(1)</sup>	6.97 x	8.02 x	7.96 x	9.11 x	8.23 x
Long-term Debt to Net Plant (%)	20%	18%	19%	19%	20%

<sup>(1)</sup> Unaudited.

## Municipal Drainage Utility System Condensed Schedule of Operations

The following two tables present five-year historical information and coverage and fund balances for the System. Unless otherwise noted, all information is from the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

	Fiscal Years Ended September 30,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
<u>Revenues</u>					
Service Charges	\$ 10,774	\$ 10,815	\$ 10,536	\$ 10,492	\$ 8,702
Interest Revenue	69	72	99	173	104
Net Increase (decrease) in the fair value of investments	11	(20)	(6)	12	3
Other Income	-	-	-	-	-
Total Revenues	\$ 10,854	\$ 10,867	\$ 10,629	\$ 10,677	\$ 8,809
<u>Expenses</u>					
Salaries and Wages	1,595	1,429	1,576	1,543	1,190
Employee's Retirement	235	226	253	-	193
Supplies	70	72	55	63	41
Maintenance and Repairs	344	375	345	279	176
Utilities	15	8	8	8	-
Miscellaneous Services	650	735	637	762	758
Total Operating Expenses Before Depreciation	\$ 2,909	\$ 2,845	\$ 2,874	\$ 2,655	\$ 2,358
Net Revenues of the System	7,945	8,022	7,755	8,022	6,451
Transfers in/(out)	(1,001)	(1,108)	(508)	(652)	(520)
Capital Outlay	-	-	-	-	(1,514)
Net Remaining Revenues Available for Debt Service	\$ 6,944	\$ 6,914	\$ 7,247	\$ 7,370	\$ 4,417
Debt Service Paid	2,205	2,282	2,453	-	-
Maximum Principal and Interest Requirements, 2015				\$ 2,205	
Coverage of Maximum Requirements by Fiscal Year End Revenues				4.93	
Average Principal and Interest Requirements, 2015-2031				\$ 1,675	
Coverage of Average Requirements by Fiscal Year End Revenues				6.49	

## **Pension & Employee Benefit Plans**

### **Texas Municipal Retirement System**

#### **Plan Description:**

The City provides pension benefits for all of its full-time employees through a nontraditional, joint contributory, hybrid defined benefit plan in the state-wide Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS), one of 850 administered by TMRS, an agent, multiple-employer public employee retirement system. Each of the municipalities has an annual individual actuarial valuation performed. All assumptions for the December 31, 2013 valuations are contained in the 2013 TMRS comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR), a copy of which may be obtained by writing to P.O. Box 149153, Austin, Texas 78714-9153 or accessing the CAFR on line at [www.tmr.com](http://www.tmr.com).

Benefits depend upon a sum of the employee's contributions to the plan, with interest, and the City-financed monetary credits, with interest. At the date the plan began, the City granted monetary credits for service rendered before the plan began of a theoretical amount equal to two times what would have been contributed by the employee, with interest, prior to establishment of the plan. Monetary credits for service since the plan began are two times the employee's accumulated contributions and the monetary credits for service since the plan began, would be the total monetary credits and employee contributions accumulated with interest if the current employee contribution rate and City matching percent had always been in existence and if the employee's salary had always been the average of his salary in the last three years that are one year before the effective date. At retirement, the benefit is calculated as if the sum of the employee's accumulated contributions with interest and the employer-financed monetary credits with interest were used to purchase an annuity. Additionally, initiated in 1998, the City provides on an annually repeating basis annuity increases for retirees. In 2014, that amount was equal to 50% of the change in the consumer price index (CPI).

Members can retire at age 60 and above with 5 or more years of service or with 20 years of service regardless of age. A member is vested after 5 years. The plan provisions are adopted by the governing body of the City, within the options available in the state statutes governing TMRS. The contribution rate for the employees is 7%, and the City matching ratio is currently 2 to 1, both as adopted by the governing body of the City.

#### **Funding Policy:**

Under the state law governing TMRS, the contribution rate for each city is determined annually by the actuary, using the Projected Unit Credit actuarial cost method. The rate consists of the normal cost contribution rate and the prior service cost contribution rate, which is calculated to be a level percent of payroll from year to year. The normal cost contribution rate finances the portion of an active member's projected benefit allocated annually; the prior service contribution rate amortizes the unfunded (overfunded) actuarial liability (asset) over the applicable period for that city. Both the normal cost and prior service contribution rates include recognition of the projected impact of annually repeating benefits, such as Updated Service Credits and Annuity Increases. The City discloses the annual pension costs (which equal the required contributions) based on the calculated rates for the City's fiscal year. The rate is 16.47% of covered payroll for the months in calendar year 2013, and 16.28% for the months in calendar year 2014. This rate consists of the normal cost contribution rate and the prior service contribution rate. The normal cost contribution rate finances the currently accruing monetary credits due to City matching percent, which are the obligation of the City as of an employee's retirement date, not at the time the employee's contributions are made. The normal cost contribution rate is the actuarially determined percent of payroll necessary to satisfy the obligation for the City to each employee at the time his/her retirement becomes effective. The prior service contribution rate amortizes the unfunded actuarial liability. Both the employees and the City make contributions monthly. Since the City needs to know its contribution rate in advance for budgetary purposes, there is a one-year delay between the actuarial valuation that serves as basis for the rate and the calendar year when the rate goes into effect (i.e. December 31, 2012 valuation is effective of rates beginning January 1, 2014). If a change in plan provisions is elected by the City, this rate can change.

### Annual Pension Cost and Net Pension Obligation:

The City's annual pension cost of \$24,586,143 was \$87,837 lower than the City's contributions.

Annual required contribution (ARC)	\$	24,461,796
Interest		1,233,690
Adjustment to the ARC		(1,109,343)
Annual pension cost (expense)		24,586,143
Contribution made		(24,673,980)
Increase (decrease) in net pension obligat		(87,837)
Net pension obligation beginning of year		17,624,136
Net pension obligation end of year	\$	17,536,299

### Three-Year Trend Information

<u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>Ending</u>	<u>Annual Pension</u> <u>Cost (APP)</u>	<u>Percentage of</u> <u>ARC</u> <u>Contributed</u>	<u>Net Pension</u> <u>Obligation</u> <u>(Asset)</u>
2012	\$ 25,111,256	100.14%	17,681,349
2013	\$ 24,352,889	100.23%	17,624,136
2014	\$ 24,586,143	100.36%	17,536,299

The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

#### Changes in Actuarial and Amortization Methods:

Since its inception, TMRS has used the Unit Credit actuarial funding method. This method accounts for liability accrued as the valuation date, but does not project the potential future liability of provisions adopted by the City. Two-thirds of the cities participating in TMRS have adopted the Updated Service Credit and Annuity Increases provisions on an annually repeating basis. For the December 31, 2007 valuation, the TMRS Board determined that the Projected Unit Credit (PUC) funding method should be used, which facilitates advance funding for future updated service credits and annuity increases that are adopted on an annually reporting basis. In addition, the Board also adopted a change in the amortization period from a 25-year "open" to a 25-year "closed" period. TMRS Board of Trustee rules provide that, whenever a change in actuarial assumptions or methods results in a contribution rate increase in an amount greater than .5%, the amortization period will be increased to 30 years, unless a city requests that the period remain at 25 years. The statutes further provide that plan members may request up to a forty year amortization period. For cities with repeating features, these changes would likely result initially in higher required contributions and lower funded ratios; however, the funded ratio should show steady improvement over time. To assist in this transition to higher rates, the Board also approved an eight-year phase-in period, which will allow cities the opportunity to increase their contributions gradually (approximately 12.5% each year) to their full rate (or their required contribution rate).

#### Funding Status and Funding Progress:

In June 2011, SB 350 was enacted by the Texas Legislature, resulting in a restructure of the TMRS funds. This legislation provided for the actuarial valuation to be completed, as if restructuring had occurred on December 31, 2010. In addition, the actuarial assumptions were updated for the new fund structure, based on an actuarial experience study that was adopted by the TMRS Board at their May 2011 meeting (the review compared actual to expected experience for the four-year period of January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2009). For a complete description of the combined impact of the legislation and new actuarial assumptions, including the effects on TMRS city rates and funding ratios, please see the December 31, 2010 TMRS Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR).

As of December 31, 2013, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was 83.9 percent funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$1,003,238,111, and the actuarial value of assets was \$842,193,693, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$161,044,418. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was \$150,941,487, and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 106.7%.

**Actuarial Methods and Assumptions:**

A summary of actuarial assumptions is as follows:

Actuarial valuation date	12/31/2013
Actuarial cost method	Projected Unit Credit
Amortization method	Level percent of payroll
Remaining amortization period	22 years (closed)
Asset valuation method	Amortized cost
Investment rate of return	7.0 percent
Projected salary increases	Varies by age and service
Payroll growth	3 percent
Withdrawal rate (low, mid or high) for male/female	Mid-High/Mid-High
Inflation rate	3 percent
Cost of living adjustments	1.5 percent

**Thrift Savings Plan**

All full-time City employees may participate in the Thrift Savings Plan (the "Thrift"), a single-employer defined contribution plan administered by the Retirement Committee at the City. The plan provisions and contribution savings are adopted and amended by the City Council, within the options available in the federal statutes governing Internal Revenue Code, section 401(k). This voluntary IRS Code 401(k) plan allows all full-time City employees to contribute between 1 percent to 10 percent of their salary with the City matching the first 6 percent of employee contributions at 50 cents to the dollar. Partial vesting of employer contributions begins after three years of participation with full vesting taking place after six years of participation. At September 30, 2014, the Thrift plan was fully funded and the fair market value of plan assets, including accrued interest, was \$160,724,000.

The City's total payroll during fiscal 2014 was \$156,999,000. The current year contribution was calculated based on a covered payroll of \$93,874,000, resulting in a required and actual employer contribution of \$2,580,000 and actual employee contributions of \$6,120,000. The employer contribution represents 2.70 percent of the covered payroll. The employee contribution represents approximately 6.5 percent of the covered payroll.

There were no material changes to the Thrift plan during fiscal 2014. There were no related-party transactions. The Thrift Plan does not issue separate stand-alone financial statements.

**Part-Time Deferred Income Trust**

The City provides retirement benefits for all part-time, seasonal, and temporary employees through the Part-time Deferred Income Trust Plan (the "PDIT"), and a single-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the City of Arlington's Human Resources Department. The PDIT was adopted by the City Council in accordance with the safe harbor rules of the Internal Revenue Service regulations. The PDIT does not issue separate stand-alone financial statements.

As of July 1, 2014, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was 119.4 percent funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$2,234,030, and the actuarial value of assets was \$2,668,525 resulting in an excess funded actuarial accrued liability (EAAL) of \$434,495. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was \$2,849,197, and the ratio of the EAAL to the covered payroll was 15.2 percent.

The contribution rate for employees is 3 percent, and the City's actuarially determined matching percent is 0.8 percent for fiscal year 2013. For fiscal year 2014, the contribution rate required is 0.6 percent. The City's required contribution rate was

determined as part of the July 1, 2014, actuarial valuation (the most recent actuarial valuation) using the aggregate cost method, which does not identify or separately amortize unfunded actuarial liabilities. Under this method the City's contribution rate is equal to the level percentage of future pay that is equivalent to the present value of future benefits less the plan assets.

The actuarial assumptions used in the July 1, 2014 actuarial valuation included were (a) 5.50 percent investment return, (b) no inflation rate adjustment, and (c) 3.50 percent salary increases. The accrual basis of accounting is utilized by the PDIT fund. Plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Employer contributions are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan. Administrative costs of the plan are financed through investment earnings. Cash and cash equivalents are stated at cost that approximates fair value. Investments are stated at the approximate value of the financial asset based on either the month end price, the last available price, or the last available activity. Because the assets of the plan exceed the actuarial liability, amortization of the unfunded liability is discontinued and the contribution required for the plan was developed under the aggregate cost method. This method does not identify or separately amortize unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities; the information presented is intended to serve as a surrogate for the funded status and funding progress of the plan.

The following table discloses three-year historical trend information relating to the Part-Time Deferred Income Trust Plan.

Fiscal Year Ending	Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	Percentage of ARC Contributed	Net Pension Obligation (Asset)
9/30/2012	\$15,000	100.00%	-
9/30/2013	\$16,000	100.00%	-
9/30/2014	\$17,000	100.00%	-

Benefits depend on length of service to the City and the employee's total contributions. At normal retirement age (65), the benefit consists of monthly payments equal to a percentage of the employee's average pay multiplied by years of service. The percentage of the employee's pay ranges from 1.50 percent to 2.00 percent, depending on the number of months of service.

City contributions for the above plans for the year ended September 30, 2014, are as follows (amounts in thousands):

TMRS	\$ 24,674
THRIFT	2,580
PTDIT	25
	<u>\$ 27,279</u>

#### IRC 457 Deferred Compensation Plans

The City offers its employees a deferred compensation plan created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457 which is administered by the International City Management Associations Retirement Corporation (the "ICMA"). In addition, the City offers its executive employees a deferred compensation plan created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457 which is also administered by the ICMA. Since the City does not administer these plans, these plans are not included in the City's financial statements.

## Statement of Net Position and Statement of Changes in Net Position

The Part-Time Deferred Income Trust and Thrift Savings Plans do not issue separate GAAP financial reports. Their financial statements are presented below as of June 30, 2014 for the Part-Time Deferred Income Trust and for the fiscal year-ended September 30, 2014 for Thrift Savings Plan (amounts in thousands):

	<b>NET POSITION</b>		
	<u>Part-Time Deferred Income Trust</u>	<u>Thrift Savings Plan</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Investments	\$ 2,593	\$ 160,724	\$ 163,317
Other	78	-	78
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 2,671</b>	<b>\$ 160,724</b>	<b>\$ 163,395</b>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>			
Accrued expenses	\$ (3)	\$ -	\$ (3)
<b>NET POSITION, Held in Trust for Pension Benefits</b>	<b>\$ 2,669</b>	<b>\$ 160,724</b>	<b>\$ 163,392</b>
	<b>CHANGES IN NET POSITION</b>		
	<u>Part-Time Deferred Income Trust</u>	<u>Thrift Savings Plan</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>ADDITIONS</b>			
Employer contributions	\$ 21	\$ 2,580	\$ 2,601
Employee contributions	100	6,120	6,220
Net appreciation in fair value of investments	186	16,452	16,638
Other	35	150	185
<b>Total Additions</b>	<b>\$ 342</b>	<b>\$ 25,302</b>	<b>\$ 25,644</b>
<b>DEDUCTIONS</b>			
Benefits	(79)	(10,660)	(10,739)
Plan administration	(46)	(96)	(142)
Other	-	(43)	(43)
<b>Total Deductions</b>	<b>\$ (125)</b>	<b>\$ (10,799)</b>	<b>\$ (10,924)</b>
Increase in Net Position	<u>\$ 217</u>	<u>\$ 14,503</u>	<u>\$ 14,720</u>
<b>NET POSITION, Beginning of Year</b>	<b>\$ 2,452</b>	<b>\$ 146,221</b>	<b>\$ 148,673</b>
<b>NET POSITION, End of Year</b>	<b>\$ 2,669</b>	<b>\$ 160,724</b>	<b>\$ 163,393</b>

## Other Post-Employment Benefits

### Disability Income Plan

Effective October 1, 1992, the City began providing active employees with disability insurance through a policy obtained from a commercial carrier. Previously, all City employees had participated in a Disability Income Plan (DIP), a single-employer other postemployment benefit disability plan, which had been funded by actuarially determined contributions. This plan had been accounted for in the DIP fund. Benefits to employees who were disabled while participating under the previous plan will continue to be paid from the remaining assets of the DIP fund, a fiduciary fund of the City.

#### Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

*Basis of Accounting.* DIP's financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Employer contributions to the plan are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan.

*Method Used to Value Investments.* Cash and cash equivalents with original maturity dates less than one year are stated at cost that approximates fair value. Investments are stated at fair value based on the month end price, the last available price or the last available activity.

#### Plan Description and Contribution Information

Membership of the plan consisted of the following at July 1, 2014, the date of the latest actuarial valuation:  
Retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits: 16

*Plan Description.* DIP is a single-employer defined benefit disability income plan that covers the employees of the City. The plan originally provided in-service death benefits and long term disability benefits commencing upon disablement. The plan was amended to eliminate the in-service death benefit and to start disability payments at age 65. The plan contemplates that long term disability benefits will be provided through a separate LTD insurance contract prior to age 65. The retired life liability for current disabled employees (many of whom are under age 65) is retained under the plan.

*Contributions.* The retirement committee of the City has the authority to establish and amend contribution requirements of the plan. The City's contribution is determined through an actuarial valuation. For the year ended September 30, 2014, the City contributed \$112,000 to the plan. Administrative costs of DIP are financed through investment earnings.

#### Funded Status and Funding Progress

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment and mortality. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial values of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

As of July 1, 2014, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was 84.6 percent funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$1,691,925, and the actuarial value of assets was \$1,431,576 resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$260,349.

The accompanying schedule of employer contributions present trend information about the amounts contributed to the plan by employers in comparison to the ARC, an amount that is actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 43. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover the normal cost for each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan, (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial

value of assets, consistent with the long term perspective of the calculations. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows:

<b>Valuation date</b>	<b>7/1/2014</b>
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level dollar amortization
Remaining amortization period	8 years (closed)
Asset valuation method	Market value
<b>Actuarial assumptions:</b>	
Investment rate of return	5.5 percent
Inflation rate	3.0 percent

*Funding Policy.* The retirement committee of the City has the authority to establish and amend contribution requirements of the plan. The City fully funds the required contributions each year.

*Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation.* The City’s annual other postemployment benefit (OPEB) cost (expense) is calculated based on the *annual required contribution of the employer (ARC)*, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years. The following table shows the components of the City’s annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the City’s net OPEB asset (dollar amounts in thousands):

Annual required contribution	\$ 74
Interest on net OPEB asset	(28)
Adjustment to annual required contribution	<u>73</u>
Annual OPEB cost (expense)	119
Contributions made	<u>(112)</u>
Increase in net OPEB asset	7
Net OPEB asset - beginning of year	<u>(518)</u>
Net OPEB asset - end of year	<u>\$ (511)</u>

The City’s annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB asset for 2014 and the six preceding years are as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Annual</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Net OPEB</u>
<u>Ending</u>	<u>OPEB Cost</u>	<u>Annual OPEB</u>	<u>Obligation</u>
		<u>Contribution</u>	<u>(Asset)</u>
9/30/2009	\$358,000	142.25%	(\$109,000)
9/30/2010	\$305,000	127.21%	(\$192,000)
9/30/2011	\$249,000	149.80%	(\$316,000)
9/30/2012	\$283,000	126.10%	(\$390,000)
9/30/2013	\$120,000	206.70%	(\$518,000)
9/30/2014	\$119,000	94.10%	(\$511,000)

DIP does not issue separate GAAP financial reports. Its financial statements are presented below as of September 30, 2014 (in thousands):

### Net Position

Assets	
Investments	\$ 1,399
Total assets	1,399
Net position, held in trust for	
Other postemployment benefits	\$ 1,399

### Changes in Net Position

Additions	
Employer contributions	\$ 112
Net appreciation in fair value	
Of investments	83
Total additions	\$ 195
Deductions	
Benefits	(155)
Plan Administration	(21)
Total deductions	(176)
Increase in net position	19
Net position, October 1, 2013	1,380
Net position, September 30, 2014	\$ 1,399

### **Retiree Health Insurance**

The City of Arlington administers a single-employer self-funded health care plan. The plan provides post-retirement health care benefits to eligible retirees and their dependents. To be eligible for retiree health insurance, an employee must be eligible to retire from the City of Arlington based upon the policies and requirements of the Texas Municipal Retirement System (“TMRS”) and elect to retire at the time of separation from the City. If a retiree has coverage through another employer, they must waive the City retiree coverage until the employer based coverage terminates. As of July 1, 2014, there were 767 retired employees who met this requirement.

An employee may retire from the City based on one of the following circumstances: (1) the employee becomes eligible and elects to retire under the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS) after either 20 years of service credit at any age, or after a minimum of five years of service at age 60; (2) the employee becomes eligible and elects to retire under the provisions of TMRS relating to disability retirement.

A Retiree may be eligible for insurance benefits that include: medical, dental, and vision benefits, regardless of the number of years worked for the City. However, to be eligible for a contribution from the City toward medical insurance, the Retiree must meet all of the following requirements:

- Be a minimum of age 50 and have a minimum of 10 years of full-time service with the City of Arlington and age plus years of service with the City must equal at least 70.
- Elect to receive their TMRS pension at the time of separation from the City of Arlington.
- Be hired/re-hired OR transferred to a Full-time status prior to January 1, 2006.

## Retiree Health Insurance City Contributions

The City's contribution toward Retiree health insurance premiums is based upon five criteria: Date of Hire, Re-hire, or Full-time Status; Years of Full-time Service with the City of Arlington; Age; Election of TMRS Pension; and Date of Retirement.

1. Retirees who were hired/re-hired or transferred into a full-time status prior to 1/1/2006 have a City contribution based on their years of eligible service with the City. Retirees who were hired/re-hired or transferred into a full-time status after 1/1/2006 have no City contribution; however they may elect to pay the full cost and remain on the City's health plan.

2. Retirees who are TMRS eligible and elect a pension are a minimum of age 50 and have 10 years of fulltime service with the City of Arlington are eligible for a City contribution if hired, re-hired, or transferred into a full-time status prior to 1/1/2006.

3. Retirees who are TMRS eligible have elected a pension but have less than 10 years of full-time service with the City of Arlington are not eligible for the City contribution, but may elect insurance benefits and pay the full premium.

4. Retirees who retired prior to 1/1/2008 have a City contribution toward their dependent's health coverage. Retirees who are retiring after 1/1/2008 do not have a contribution toward their dependent's health care.

5. Effective January 1, 2014, the City's retiree contribution was changed to a flat rate based on date of retirement. The contribution for retirees over the age of 65 has \$50 of the contribution designated for Medicare pharmacy coverage.

**Funding Policy.** The retirement committee of the City has the authority to establish and amend contribution requirements of the plan. Currently the plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis.

**Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation.** The City's annual other postemployment benefit (OPEB) cost (expense) is calculated based on the annual required contribution of the employer (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years.

The following table shows the components of the City's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the City's net OPEB obligation (dollar amounts in thousands):

Annual required contribution	\$	8,821
Interest on net OPEB Obligation		1,360
Adjustment to annual required contributor		<u>(1,815)</u>
Annual OPEB cost (expense)		8,366
Contributions made		<u>(4,962)</u>
Increase in net OPEB obligation		3,404
Net OPEB obligation – beginning of year		<u>30,229</u>
Net OPEB obligation – end of year		<u><u>\$ 33,633</u></u>

The City's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for 2014 and the five preceding years are as follows (dollar amounts in thousands):

<u>Ending</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Contribution</u>	<u>Obligation</u>
9/30/2009	\$ 8,947	26.72%	\$ 11,328
9/30/2010	\$ 8,398	31.80%	\$ 17,056
9/30/2011	\$ 8,379	56.14%	\$ 20,731
9/30/2012	\$ 12,133	46.66%	\$ 27,203
9/30/2013	\$ 8,723	65.31%	\$ 30,229
9/30/2014	\$ 8,366	59.31%	\$ 33,633

Funded Status and Funding Progress. As of July 1, 2014, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was zero percent funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$103.5 million, and the actuarial value of assets was zero, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$103.5 million. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was \$132.2 million, and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 78.3 percent.

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions. Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan, (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long term perspective of the calculations.

Valuation date	7/1/2014
Actuarial cost method	Projected Unit Credit
Amortization method	Level dollar, open
Remaining amortization period	30 years
Healthcare Cost trend rate - medical	7.25% initial (2014) 4.5% ultimate (2026)
Inflation rate	3.00%

### Supplemental Death Benefits Plan

*Plan Description.* The City of Arlington contributes to the Supplemental Death Benefit Fund (SDBF), a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit group term life insurance plan operated by TMRS. This is a separate trust administered by the TMRS Board of Trustees. SDBF provides a death benefit of \$7,500 for retirees. TMRS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for SDBF. That report may be obtained from the TMRS website at [www.TMRS.com](http://www.TMRS.com).

*Funding Policy.* Contribution requirements of the participating employers are established and may be amended by the TMRS Board of Trustees. The City is required to contribute at a rate assessed each year by the TMRS Board of Trustees, currently .12 percent of covered payroll. The TMRS Board of Trustees sets the employer contribution rate based on the mortality and service experience of all employees covered by the plan and the demographics specific to the workforce of the City. There is a one-year delay between the actuarial valuation that serves as the basis for the employer contribution rate and the calendar year when the rate goes into effect. Contributions are made monthly based on covered payroll of employee members of the City. Contributions are utilized to fund active member deaths on a pay-as-you-go basis. Any excess contributions over payments then become net position available for OPEB. The City’s contributions to SDBF for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, were \$181,872, \$207,493, and \$209,933, respectively, which equaled the required contributions each year.